Diffusion Models: A Comprehensive Survey of Methods and Applications

LING YANG*, Peking University, China ZHILONG ZHANG*, Peking University, China SHENDA HONG, Peking University, China RUNSHENG XU, University of California, Los Angeles, USA YUE ZHAO, Carnegie Mellon University, USA YINGXIA SHAO, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China WENTAO ZHANG, Mila - Québec AI Institute, HEC Montréal, Canada MING-HSUAN YANG, University of California at Merced, USA BIN CUI, Peking University, China

Diffusion models are a class of deep generative models that have shown impressive results on various tasks with a solid theoretical foundation. Despite demonstrated success than state-of-the-art approaches, diffusion models often entail costly sampling procedures and sub-optimal likelihood estimation. Significant efforts have been made to improve the performance of diffusion models in various aspects. In this article, we present a comprehensive review of existing variants of diffusion models. Specifically, we provide the taxonomy of diffusion models and categorize them into three types: sampling-acceleration enhancement, likelihood-maximization enhancement, and data-generalization enhancement. We also introduce the other generative models (i.e., variational autoencoders, generative adversarial networks, normalizing flow, autoregressive models, and energy-based models) and discuss the connections between diffusion models and these generative models. Then we review the applications of diffusion models, including computer vision, natural language processing, waveform signal processing, multi-modal modeling, molecular graph generation, time series modeling, and adversarial purification. Furthermore, we propose new perspectives pertaining to the development of generative models. Github: *https://github.com/YangLing0818/Diffusion-Models-Papers-Survey-Taxonomy*.

CCS Concepts: • Computing methodologies -> Computer vision tasks; Natural language generation; Machine learning approaches.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Generative models, Diffusion models, GANs, VAE, Energy-based models, Normalizing flows, Autoregressive models, Survey

ACM Reference Format:

Ling Yang, Zhilong Zhang, Shenda Hong, Runsheng Xu, Yue Zhao, Yingxia Shao, Wentao Zhang, Ming-Hsuan Yang, and Bin Cui. 2022. Diffusion Models: A Comprehensive Survey of Methods and Applications. 1, 1 (September 2022), 33 pages. https://doi.org/XXXXXXX XXXXXXX

*Both authors contributed equally.

Authors' addresses: Ling Yang, Peking University, China, yangling0818@163.com; Zhilong Zhang, Peking University, China, zhilong.zhang@bjmu.edu.cn; Shenda Hong, Peking University, China, hongshenda@pku.edu.cn; Runsheng Xu, University of California, Los Angeles, USA, rxx3386@ucla.edu; Yue Zhao, Carnegie Mellon University, USA, zhaoy@cmu.edu; Yingxia Shao, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, China, shaoyx@bupt.edu.cn; Wentao Zhang, Mila - Québec AI Institute, HEC Montréal, Canada, wentao.zhang@mila.quebec; Ming-Hsuan Yang, University of California at Merced, USA, mhyang@ucmerced.edu; Bin Cui, Peking University, China, bin.cui@pku.edu.cn.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2022 Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

1 INTRODUCTION

Diffusion models have emerged as the new state-of-the-art (SOTA) deep generative models. After surpassing GAN on image synthesis [45], diffusion model has shown great potential in numerous tasks [138, 226], such as computer vision [11, 119, 242], natural language processing [7], waveform signal processing [26, 110], multi-modal modeling [8, 176, 254], molecular graph modeling [3, 82, 95, 116], time series modeling [168], and adversarial purification [17]. Furthermore, diffusion models have close connections with other research area, such as robust learning [101, 159, 209], representative learning [1, 132, 237, 254] and reinforcement learning [92]. However, original diffusion models still suffer from a slow sampling procedure, which usually requires thousands of evaluation steps to draw a sample [78]. Also, it struggles to achieve competitive log-likelihoods compared to likelihood-based models, such as autoregressive models [83]. Many efforts have been made to address the above limitations, and recent studies also improve the performance of diffusion models with practical consideration or analyze the model capacity from theoretical perspectives.

However, it lacks a systematic review of the recent progress on diffusion models in both algorithms and applications. To reflect the progress in this fast-growing field, we present a comprehensive review of the diffusion models. We envision that our work will elucidate design considerations and advanced methods for diffusion models, present its applications in different areas, and point out future research directions. We provide an outline of this survey in Figure 1.

Diffusion probabilistic models were originally proposed as a latent variable generative model inspired by nonequilibrium thermodynamics. This class of models consists of two processes, first is the forward process that progressively disturbs the data distribution by adding noise in multiple scales. Then the reverse process learns to restore the data structure [78, 186]. From a perspective of this, diffusion models can be viewed as a very deep hierarchical VAE, which means the destroying and restoration process corresponds to the encoding and the decoding process in VAE, respectively. Thus, many studies have focused on learning the encoding and decoding process, coupled with designs of the variational lower bound to improve the model performance. Alternatively, the processes of diffusion models can be viewed as a discretization of stochastic differential equations (SDE) [87, 195], where the forward and reverse processes correspond to the forward SDE and reverse SDE. Therefore, analysis of the diffusion model through SDE provides theoretical results and model improvements, especially in sampling strategies. Motivated by these point of views, we propose to categorize the diffusion models into three categories: sampling-acceleration enhancement (Section.3), likelihood-maximization enhancement (Section.4), and generalization-ability enhancement (Section.5). In each category, we further analyze the models with more specific perspectives in detail, as in Figure 1.

After analyzing the three types of diffusion models, we introduce other five commonly-used generative models (Section.6), namely variational autoencoders, generative adversarial networks, normalizing flow, autoregressive models, and energy-based models. Due to the good properties of diffusion models, researchers have begun to combine diffusion modeling with these traditional generative models. We provide a specific introduction to these combinational works and clarify the improvement over the original generative models. Then we systematically introduce the applications of diffusion models on extensive tasks (Section.7), including computer vision, natural language processing, waveform signal processing, multi-modal modeling, molecular graph generation, time series modeling, and adversarial purification. For each task, we define the problem and introduce the works that utilize diffusion models to handle the problem. In Section.8, we propose potential research directions in this rapidly growing field and conclude the survey in Section.9.

We summarize the main technical contributions of this work as follows:

• New taxonomy: We propose a new and systematical taxonomy of diffusion models and their applications. Specifically, we discuss the existing diffusion models into three categories: sampling-acceleration enhancement,



Fig. 1. Taxonomy of diffusion models variants (in Section.3,4,5), applications (in Section.7), and connections with other generative models (in Section.6).

likelihood-maximization enhancement, and data-generalization enhancement. Moreover, we group the applications of diffusion models into seven categories: computer vision, natural language processing, waveform signal processing, multi-modal learning, molecular graph generation, time series modeling, and adversarial purification. Manuscript submitted to ACM

- Comprehensive review: We provide a comprehensive overview of modern diffusion models and their applications. We discuss the main improvements for each type of diffusion model with detailed comparisons. For each type of application of diffusion models, we present the main problems it is designed for and illustrate how they address these problems.
- Future research directions: We propose open problems for future research and provide some suggestions about the future development of diffusion models in both algorithms and applications.

2 PRELIMINARIES OF DIFFUSION MODELS

A central problem in generative modeling is the trade-off between the flexibility and the tractability of the models' probability distributions. The essential idea of diffusion models is to systematically perturb the structure in a data distribution through a forward diffusion process, and then recover the structure by learning a reverse diffusion process, resulting in a highly flexible and tractable generative model. Fig.2 shows the comparison between two main kinds of paradigms in diffusion models, Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models and Score-based Generative Models. Table 1 lists the symbols used in describing the following diffusion models.



Fig. 2. Comparison between Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models and Score-based Generative Models.

2.1 Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models

A denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) [78] consists of two parameterized Markov chains and uses variational inference to generate samples matching the original data after a finite time. The forward chain perturbs the data distribution by gradually adding Gaussian noise with a pre-designed schedule until the data distribution converges to a given prior, i.e., standard Gaussian distribution. The reverse chain starts with the given prior and uses a parameterized Gaussian transition kernel, learning to gradually restore the undisturbed data structure. Formally, given a data distribution $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$, we define a forward noising process q which produces latents $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \dots \mathbf{x}_T$, by adding Gaussian noise at time t with variance $\beta_t \in (0, 1)$ as follows:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1})$$
(1)

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t \mathbf{I})$$
(2)

where $q(\mathbf{x}_t)$ denotes the distributions of latent variables \mathbf{x}_t in the forward process. As noted in [78], the noising process defined in Equation (2) allows us to sample an arbitrary step of the noised latents directly conditioned on the input \mathbf{x}_0 . Manuscript submitted to ACM

Table 1.	Notions in Diffusion	Models.

Notations	Descriptions
Т	Total time steps of diffusion process
t	Time step t on the range of [0, T]
∥ ∙∥	L ₂ norm
A	Linear transformation
b	Bias term
\mathcal{T}	Transformation in <i>SE</i> (3)
e	Standard gaussian noise
z_t	Standard gaussian noise at time t
Û	Uniform distribution
N	Normal distribution
β_t	Variance coefficient at time t
$\beta(t)$	Continuous-time limit of β_t
αt	$1 - \beta_t$
$\bar{\alpha}_t$	Cumulative product of α_t
Ē,	$\frac{1}{1-\bar{\alpha_t}}$
$\frac{\alpha(t)}{\alpha(t)}$	Continuous-time signal scale
$\frac{\sigma(t)}{\sigma(t)}$	Continuous-time noise scale
$\frac{R(t)}{R(t)}$	Signal-to-Noise ratio
x	Original data
Xo	Unperturbed data in diffusion model
Xt	Diffused data at time t
x'	Intermediate data in diffusion process
$q(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_s)$	Transition kernel in forward chain, from time s to t
$q(\mathbf{x}_t)$	Marginal distribution of forward chain at time t
$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_s)$	Transition kernel of reverse chain, from time s to t
$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t)$	Marginal distribution in forward chain at time t
$q_{st}(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_s)$	Transition kernel of forward SDE, from time s to t
$q_t(\mathbf{x})$	Marginal distribution of diffusion SDE at time t
$p_t(\mathbf{x})$	Marginal distribution of data in reverse SDE at time t
$\overline{\nabla_x \log p_t(\mathbf{x})}$	Score function at time t
$\mathbf{f}(\cdot, \cdot)$	Drift coefficient of SDE
$\mathbf{f}_i(\cdot)$	Dritf coefficient at pre-fixed time t_i
$\mathbf{g}(\cdot)$	Diffusion coefficient of SDE
$\mathbf{g}_i(\cdot)$	Diffusion coefficient at pre-fixed time t_i
w	Standard Wiener process
ŵ	Reverse time Wiener process
$\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$	Learnable mean of reversed process at time t
$\Sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$	Learnable variance coefficient of reversed step at time t
$\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$	Estimated noise function at time t
$s_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$	Estimated score function at time t
$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t;t)$	Estimation of \mathbf{x}_0 at time t
L _{VLB}	Variational Lower Bound
E_q	Scaled error
$\mathbf{P}_r(\cdot)$	Polynomial extrapolation of degree r
$F(\cdot)$	Bijective function

5

With $\alpha_t = 1 - \beta_t$ and $\bar{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=0}^t \alpha_s$, we can write the marginal as:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)\mathbf{I})$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon \tag{4}$$

For a detailed derivation, one can refer to [4, 133]. When $\bar{\alpha}_T$ approximates 0, \mathbf{x}_T is practically indistinguishable from pure Gaussian noise: $p(\mathbf{x}_T) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. The joint distribution $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})$ is called the *reverse process*, and when β_t is sufficiently small, $q(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$ is approximately Gaussian. We can thus parameterize this reverse process as follows, starting at $p(\mathbf{x}_T) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_T; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$:

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T}) = p(\mathbf{x}_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_t),$$
(5)

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t), \Sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t))$$
(6)

where $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t)$ denotes the distributions of latent variables \mathbf{x}_t in reverse process, or $p(\mathbf{x}_t)$ for simplicity. Training can be done via optimizing the variational upper bound on negative log-likelihood:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0})\right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{q}\left[-\log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{0:T})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}|\mathbf{x}_{0})}\right]$$
(7)

$$= \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[-\log p(\mathbf{x}_{T}) - \sum_{t \ge 1} \log \frac{p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{t})}{q(\mathbf{x}_{t} | \mathbf{x}_{t-1})} \right]$$
(8)

$$= -L_{\rm VLB} \tag{9}$$

Reparameterize Equation (8) [78], and we have the general objective:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t \sim \mathcal{U}(0,T), \mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0), \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\mathbf{I})} \left[\lambda(t) \| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \|^2 \right]$$
(10)

where ϵ_{θ} is a neural network, which uses input \mathbf{x}_t and t to predict ϵ that can generate \mathbf{x}_t , resembling denoising score matching over multiple noise scales indexed by t [191]. In addition, $\lambda(t)$ is a positive weighting function. The objective function can be estimated by the Monte-Carol algorithm [146] and trained with stochastic optimizers [196]. In the generation procedure, it first selects a sample \mathbf{x}_T from the standard Gaussian prior and then sequentially draws sample \mathbf{x}_t using the learned reverse chain until we obtain new data \mathbf{x}_0 .

2.2 Score-based Generative Models

Alternatively, one can view the aforementioned diffusion probabilistic model as a discretization of a score-based generative model [195]. Score-based generative models construct a stochastic differential equation (SDE) to disturb a data distribution to a known prior distribution in a smooth fashion and a corresponding reverse-time SDE to transform the prior distribution back into the data distribution. Formally the forward diffusion process is the solution of the following SDE:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)dt + g(t)d\mathbf{w}$$
(11)

where **w** is a standard Wiener process, aka standard Brownian motion. Let \mathbf{x}_0 be the original data sample, and \mathbf{x}_T denote the perturbed data approximating standard Gaussian distribution. The forward process in DDPM [78] can be

seen as a discretization of a special forward SDE [195]:

$$d\mathbf{x} = -\frac{1}{2}\beta(t)\mathbf{x}dt + \sqrt{\beta(t)}d\mathbf{w}$$
(12)

where $\beta(\frac{i}{N}) = N\beta_i$ in Equation (2) as *N* goes to infinity.

To generate data from the know prior, one can reverse the forward diffusion SDE to transform \mathbf{x}_T to \mathbf{x}_0 . The solution of the reverse SDE has the same marginal densities as the forward SDE, but it evolves reverse in time [195]:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) - g(t)^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log q_t(\mathbf{x}) dt + g(t) d\bar{\mathbf{w}}$$
(13)

where $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$ is a standard Wiener process where time flows backward from T to 0, and *dt* is an infinitesimal negative time step. To reverse the diffusion process and generate data, the only information we need is the score function at each time step t: $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log q_t(\mathbf{x})$. Here we use $q_t(\mathbf{x})$ to denote the distributions of latent variables \mathbf{x}_t in forward process. Borrowing techniques from score-matching [191], we can train a time-dependent score-based model $\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$ to estimate the score function by optimizing the denoising score-matching objective:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t,\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{x}_{t}}\left[\lambda(t)\left\|\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t},t)-\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{t}}\log q_{0t}(\mathbf{x}_{t}\mid\mathbf{x}_{0})\right\|^{2}\right]$$
(14)

The objective function in Equation (14) is closely connected to Equation (10). By setting $\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) = -\frac{\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)}{\sigma_t}$ and using the property of gaussian distribution, Equation (14) is equivalent to Equation (10) up to a weighting function. Thus one can efficiently optimizing Equation (14) as in DDPM. In practice, one needs to solve the reverse SDE using discretization, and the resulting process resembles the reverse chain in DDPM.

Classical diffusion models have three major shortcomings: inefficient sampling procedure, sub-optimal likelihood estimation and poor data generalization ability. Significant efforts have been made to address these shortcomings in recent years. We categorize the advanced diffusion models into three categories: sampling acceleration, likelihood maximization, and data generalization, with respect to the model enhancement. In the next three sections (Section 3-5), we introduce three main enhancements on diffusion models with corresponding works in detail. In Table 2, we list the three types of diffusion models with corresponding articles and years, under continuous and discrete settings.

3 DIFFUSION MODELS WITH SAMPLING-ACCELERATION ENHANCEMENT

Despite the great success of diffusion models in generating high-quality and diverse samples, existing approaches often entail time-consuming sampling procedures which usually require large amounts of discretization steps of the learned diffusion process to reach the desired accuracy. Significant efforts have been made to accelerate the sampling procedures in recent years.

3.1 Discretization Optimization

Decreasing the discretization step size can accelerate the sampling procedure when solving the diffusion SDE. However, such approaches would cause discretization errors and negatively affect the model performance. Therefore, numerous methods have been developed to optimize the discretization scheme with an time to reduce sampling steps while preserving good sample quality [33, 96, 126, 129, 195, 245] by solving stochastic differential equations or ordinary differential equations (ODEs).

3.1.1 SDE Solver. Numerous methods have been developed to solve the reverse diffusion SDEs in a continuous-time setting. SGM [195] aims to discretize the reverse-time SDE in the same way as the forward one. To discretize a forward Manuscript submitted to ACM

Category	Methods	Article	Year	Time Setting
		Song et al. [195]	2020	Continuous
		Jolicoeur et al. [96]	2021	Continuous
		Chuang et al. [33]	2022	Continuous
		Dockhorn et al. [49]	2022	Continuous
	Discretization Optimization	Watson et al. [214]	2022	Continuous
		Karras et al. [99]	2022	Continuous
		Lu et al. [129]	2022	Continuous
		Zhang et al. [245]	2022	Continuous
Someling Accolonation		Bortoli et al. [42]	2022	Continuous
Sampling Acceleration		Liu et al. [125]	2022	Continuous
		Zhang et al. [246]	2022	Continuous
	Non Manharrian Drasaaa	Liu et al. [125]	2022	Continuous
	Non-Markovian Process	Song et al. [188]	2020	Discrete
		Watson et al. [213]	2021	Discrete
		Salimans et al. [178]	2021	Discrete
	Partial Sampling	Lyu et al. [141]	2021	Discrete
		Zheng et al. [251]	2021	Discrete
		Luhman et al. [131]	2022	Discrete
	Objectives Designing	Song et al.[190]	2021	Continuous
		Huang et al. [87]	2021	Continuous
		Chen et al. [27]	2021	Continuous
Likelihood Merimization		Lu et al. [128]	2022	Continuous
Likelinood maximization	Noise Schedule Optimization	Nichol et al. [149]	2021	Discrete
		Kingma et al. [106]	2021	Discrete
		Bao et al.[10]	2022	Discrete
	Learnable Reverse variance	Nichol et al. [149]	2021	Discrete
	Feature Space Unification	Vahdat et al. [204]	2021	Discrete
		Bortoli et al. [19]	2022	Continuous
		Liu et al. [125]	2022	Continuous
Data Generalization		Wehenkel et al. [215]	2021	Discrete
	Data-Dependent Transition Kernels	Niu et al. [153]	2020	Discrete
		Austin et al. [7]	2021	Discrete
		Xie et al. [225]	2022	Discrete
		Gu et al. [71]	2022	Discrete
		Xu et al. [228]	2022	Discrete

Table 2. Three types of diffusion models are listed with corresponding articles and years, under continuous and discrete settings.

SDE and a reverse SDE as Equation (11) and Equation (13), and suppose that the following iteration rule is a discretization of the forward SDE:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{x}_i) + \mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{z}_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1$$
 (15)

where $\mathbf{z}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$. \mathbf{f}_i and \mathbf{g}_i are determined by SDE and the discretization scheme. One can discretize the reverse-time SDE:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{f}_{i+1}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}) + \mathbf{g}_{i+1}\mathbf{g}_{i+1}^{t}\mathbf{s}_{\theta^{*}}(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, i+1) + \mathbf{g}_{i+1}\mathbf{z},$$
(16)

using the trained score function $\mathbf{s}_{\theta^*}(\mathbf{x}_i, i)$. This process can be applied to any diffusion model, and empirical results show that this sampler performs slightly better than DDPM [195]. Moreover, SGM proposes to add a "corrector" to the SDE solver to produce samples with correct marginal distributions. Specifically, after the numerical SDE solver estimates the sample at each time step, a "corrector" will correct the marginal distribution of the estimated sample using Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods. Experimental results demonstrate that adding a corrector is more efficient than using more steps [195].

On the other hand, an adaptive step-size SDE solver combining a fast high-order SDE solver with an accurate low-order SDE solver deveoped by Jolicoeur-Martineau et al. [96] At each time step, the high- and low-order solvers generate new sample \mathbf{x}'_{high} and \mathbf{x}'_{low} from previous sample \mathbf{x}'_{high} and \mathbf{x}'_{low} are similar, the algorithm will accept the \mathbf{x}' as the new samples obtained by making interpolation between \mathbf{x}'_{high} and \mathbf{x}'_{low} at current step, and increase the step size. The dissimilarity between \mathbf{x}'_{high} and \mathbf{x}'_{low} is defined by:

$$E_q = \left\| \frac{\mathbf{x}'_{low} - \mathbf{x}'_{high}}{\delta(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}'_{prev})} \right\|^2$$
(17)

where $\delta(\mathbf{x}'_{low}, \mathbf{x}'_{prev}) = \max(\epsilon_{abs}, \epsilon_{rel} \max(|\mathbf{x}'_{low}|, |\mathbf{x}'_{prev}|))$ is the tolerance, and ϵ_{abs} as well as ϵ_{rel} are hyperparameters. If $E_q \leq 1$, then \mathbf{x}'_{high} is similar to \mathbf{x}'_{low} and thus accurate. Therefore one can use the extrapolation sample as the new sample at this time step since it can be viewed as an accurate sample drawn with a large step size.

Motivated by the contraction theory of the SDE, CCDF [33] shows that starting from a single forward diffusion with better initialization significantly reduces the number of sampling steps. At each generation step, each sample is transformed by a non-expansive linear mapping:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i-1} = f(\mathbf{x}_i, i) + g(\mathbf{x}_i, i)\mathbf{z}_i \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{i-1} = \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i-1} + \mathbf{b} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{z}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ and *A* is non-expansive:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}\| \le \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$$

$$\tag{20}$$

Using theories from stochastic contraction, it can be shown that a shorter sampling path pending on A exists, and the estimation error of this shorter sampling path is bounded by that of the original estimation. We can thus start the generation at an earlier step to achieve better results. Recently, DSB [42] extends the SGM by viewing the reverse process learning as the Schrödinger Bridge problem. It proposes to use an approximation of the iterative proportional fitting procedure to solve the SB problem with certain discretization. In addition, DSB provides the connection between generative modeling and optimal transport problem.

3.1.2 ODE solver. ODE solver does not need the procedure of Gaussian sampling as an SDE solver, and thus can improve the sampling efficiency of diffusion models. As shown in SGM [195], every diffusion model has a corresponding ODE which has the same marginal distribution as diffusion SDE:

$$d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \frac{1}{2}g(t)^2 \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log q_t(\mathbf{x}) dt$$
(21)

and thus we can design better ODE solver and generate data using the derived discretized ODE [99, 126, 129, 245]. DPM-solver [129] demonstrates exact formulation of the solution of diffusion ODEs. The formulation analytically computes the linear part of the solution, and the non-linear part can be approximated by exponentially-weighted Manuscript submitted to ACM integration of the neural networks. Formally, it considers the following diffusion ODE, which includes DDPM, as a special case:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_t}{dt} = f(t)\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1}{2}g^2(t)\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\log q_t(\mathbf{x}_t)$$
(22)

$$\mathbf{x}_{t} = e^{\int_{s}^{t} f(\tau) d\tau} \mathbf{x}_{s} + \int_{s}^{t} \left(e^{\int_{\tau}^{t} f(\tau) d\tau} \frac{g^{2}(\tau)}{2\sigma_{\tau}} \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{\tau}, \tau) \right) d\tau.$$
(23)

where the learned score function $-\frac{\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{r},\tau)}{\sigma_{\tau}}$ is used to replace the oracle score function. This formula can reduce the discretization error of the linear part and thus can reduce the sampling steps while preserving sample quality. Besides, it can reduce the fitting error by expanding the score function to a high-order one, and this approach is theoretically guaranteed. It further proposes combining solvers of different orders to dynamically adjust the step size to improve efficiency as [96].

DEIS [245] also leverages the semi-linear structures of learned diffusion process and derives similar solution for Equation (23). This method proposes discretizing the diffusion ODE using a quadratic time step, as in DDIM [188]. It also proposes to use an exponential integrator to approximately calculate the solution of ODE in the time step and use polynomial extrapolation for better score estimation. After estimating the score function $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t_i}, t_i)$ at time discretization $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^N$, it fits a polynomial $\mathbf{P}_r(t)$ of degree r with respect to the interpolation points $(t_i, \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t_i}, t_i))$:

$$\mathbf{P}_{r}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \left[\prod_{k \neq j} \frac{t - t_{i+k}}{t_{i+j} - t_{i+k}} \right] \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t_{i+j}}, t_{i+j}).$$
(24)

It then uses $\mathbf{P}_r(t)$ to approximate $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{\tau}, \tau)$ over the interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. Combining all ingredients can reduce discretization and fitting errors, leading to fewer evaluation steps. PNDM [126] demonstrates that we can design faster higher-order ODE by solving the differential equations of DDPM on manifolds. [99] illustrates that the tangent of the solution trajectory should point toward the denoised output by properly designing the noise schedule to reduce the discretization error.

3.2 Non-Markovian Process

The Markov process only depends on the sample of the last step to make a prediction, which limits the information utilization of abundant previous samples. In contrast, the transition kernel of the Non-Markovian process can depend on more samples, and can utilize more information from these samples. Therefore it can make accurate predictions with a large step size, which correspondingly accelerates the sampling procedure. DDIM [188] extends the original DDPM to non-Markovian case. Formally, DDIM proposes the forward diffusion chain as:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_T|\mathbf{x}_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_{t-1},\mathbf{x}_0)$$
(25)

$$q_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\tilde{\mu}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0), \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I})$$
(26)

$$\tilde{\mu}_t(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{n-1}} - \sigma_t^2 \cdot \frac{\mathbf{x}_n - \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0}{\sqrt{\overline{\beta}_t}}.$$
(27)

This formulation encapsulates DDPM and DDIM as special cases. Furthermore, the generation scheme of DDIM can be seen as a special discretization of an ODE; thus, one can sample data deterministically. gDDIM [246] provides a insightful explanation of the advantage of DDIM [188], and propose a algorithm that extend the DDIM into general Manuscript submitted to ACM

diffusion models, like CLD [49]. PNDM [126] demonstrates that DDPM should be seen as solving differential equations on a manifold and proposes a pseudo numerical solver with a fast sampling speed. Their method also encapsulates DDIM as a special case. GGDM [213] proposes to use all previous samples to predict the next sample at each step in the generation process, which generalizes DDIM. It also utilizes DDSS [213] to optimize fast samplers within a few time steps by differentiating through sample quality scores.

3.3 Partial Sampling

Alternatively, one can trade the sample quality for sampling speed by only using partial steps in the reverse process to generate samples. [213] proposes to select an optimal sub-trajectory that maximizes the trained VLB using a dynamic programming algorithm. Then one can only use this sub-trajectory for a generation. The key observation here is that we can decompose the VLB according to sub-trajectory. Given path of inference timesteps $0 = t'_0 < t'_1 < \ldots < t'_{K-1} < t'_K = T$, one can derive a corresponding ELBO:

$$-L_{\text{VLB}} = \mathbf{E}_{q} \mathbf{D}_{KL}[q(\mathbf{x}_{1}|\mathbf{x}_{0})||p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{1})] + \sum_{i=1}^{K} L(t'_{i}, t'_{i-1})$$
(28)

$$L(t,s) = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{E}_q \log p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) & s = 0\\ \mathbf{E}_q \mathbf{D}_{KL} q(\mathbf{x}_s | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{x}_0) p_\theta(\mathbf{x}_s | \mathbf{x}_t) & s > 0 \end{cases}$$
(29)

Thus, each L(i, j) is remembered, and one can apply dynamic programming to select an optimal trajectory with contiguous time steps for any pre-trained DDPM. Combining the result in Analytic-DPM, one can indeed choose an optimal sub-trajectory.

Alternatively, one can achieve partial sampling by early stopping or truncation of the forward and reverse process [141, 251], or retraining student networks and skipping partial steps via knowledge distillation [131, 178]. In Progressive Distillation [178], it proposes to distill a new diffusion model from a pre-trained diffusion model, and the new diffusion model only uses half of the sampling steps in the pre-trained diffusion model. Specifically, given a trained teacher diffusion model, a student diffusion model has trained in a way that one step in the student model matches two steps in the teacher model. Then it progressively applies this distillation procedure to the student diffusion model to further reduce sampling steps.

4 DIFFUSION MODELS WITH LIKELIHOOD-MAXIMIZATION ENHANCEMENT

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Model (DDPM) [78] does not have competitive log-likelihoods compared to other likelihood-based models [78, 106], and recently there are various methods to enhance the maximization of log-likelihoods. Due to the intractability of the direct computation of the log-likelihood, studies mainly focus on designing and analyzing the variational lower bound. There are three types of methods to optimize the VLB: Noise Schedule Optimization, Learnable Reverse Variance, and Objectives Designing. In the following, we will discuss them in detail.

4.1 Noise Schedule Optimization

In the discrete-time setting, one can optimize the VLB by tuning the noise schedule in the forward process [106, 149]. In [149], hand-crafted noise schedule and reverse variance estimation already achieve competitive log-likelihoods. It

proposes the following noise schedule:

$$\bar{\alpha}_t = \frac{h(t)}{h(0)}, \ h(t) = \cos\left(\frac{t/T+m}{1+m} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2, \tag{30}$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_t$ is defined in Equation (4). One can use $\beta_t = 1 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_t}{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}$ to calculate the forward variance in Equation (2). In this scheme, $\bar{\alpha}_t$ progresses smoothly and prevents abrupt changes at the noise level. Thus the reverse process can recover the data structure easily.

VDM [106] shows that variational lower bound of data **x** can be simplified to a short expression in terms of the signal-to-noise ratio $R(t) = \frac{\alpha^2(t)}{\sigma^2(t)}$ of the diffused data:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{T}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},t} \left[\left(\mathsf{R}(\frac{t-1}{T}) - \mathsf{R}(\frac{t}{T}) \right) ||\mathbf{x}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_t; t)||_2^2 \right]$$
(31)

$$\approx -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \int_0^1 \mathbf{R}'(t) \, \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_t; t)\|_2^2 \, dt \qquad \text{as } T \to \infty$$
(32)

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)} \left[\mathbb{R}'(t) \left| \mathbf{x}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t; t) \right|_2^2 \right]$$
(33)

where \mathbf{x}_t denotes corrupted data \mathbf{x} after t steps, $\mathbf{x}_t = \alpha(t)\mathbf{x}_0 + \sigma^2(t)\epsilon$. Applying the change-of-variable formula to the objective function in Equation (32) shows that, in the infinitely deep setting, the VLB is fully determined by the end point of the noise schedule:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\mathbf{I})} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{\min}}^{\mathbf{R}_{\max}} \|\mathbf{x} - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{v}, v)\|_{2}^{2} dv,$$
(34)

Thus, in the infinitely deep setting, the noise schedule between the endpoints does not affect the VLB. One can alternatively optimize the noise schedule between endpoints for variance reduction, and optimize the endpoints for VLB.

4.2 Learnable Reverse Variance

Alternatively, optimizing the estimation of reverse variance and thus reducing the fitting error can effectively maximize the VLB and the log-likelihoods. [149] observes that learning the variance in the reverse process allows sampling with an order of magnitude fewer steps. It artificially sets the reverse variance in Equation (6) as:

$$\Sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) = \exp(v \cdot \log \beta_t + (1 - v) \cdot \log \tilde{\beta}_t)$$
(35)

where $\tilde{\beta}_t = \frac{1-\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}{1-\bar{\alpha}_t} \cdot \beta_t$ and v is the parameter to be learned using VLB. This simple tuning can reduce the time steps for sampling. Analytic-DPM [10] demonstrates a remarkable result that the optimal reverse variance for the VLB a DDPM has analytic forms w.r.t. its score function:

$$\Sigma_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t}, t) = \sigma_{t}^{2} + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\overline{\beta}_{t}}{\alpha_{t}}} - \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{t-1}} - \sigma_{t}^{2}\right)^{2} \cdot \left(1 - \overline{\beta}_{t} \mathbb{E}_{q_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t})} \frac{||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{t}} \log q_{t}(\mathbf{x}_{t})||^{2}}{d}\right).$$
(36)

Thus, one can achieve an optimal reverse process and thus optimal VLB given the accurate estimation of the score function.

4.3 Objectives Designing

Leveraging the diffusion SDE, one can derive the connection between the log-likelihood of generated data and the score-matching objectives. By properly designing the objectives, one can maximize the VLB and the log-likelihoods. In the continuous-time setting, new data is generated by the plug-in reverse SDE with learned score function $s_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)$:

$$d\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{x}, t) - g(t)^2 \mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) dt + g(t) d\mathbf{w}.$$
(37)

Otherwise, data can be generated by the learned diffusion ODE:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_t}{dt} = f(t, \mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2}g^2(t)\mathbf{s}_\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t).$$
(38)

Studies have focused on maximizing the likelihood of the solution of above SDE and ODE at time 0, denoted by p_0^{sde} and p_0^{ode} , respectively [87, 128, 190]. [190] demonstrates that with a specific weighting scheme, the objective can be an upper bound of the negative log-likelihood of the generated sample; thus it enables approximate maximum likelihood training of score-based diffusion models:

$$\mathbf{D}_{KL}(q_0 \parallel p_0^{sde}) \le \mathcal{L}(\theta; g(\cdot)^2) + \mathbf{D}_{KL}(q_T \parallel \pi), \tag{39}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\theta; g(\cdot)^2)$ is the objective in Equation (14) with weighting function $\lambda(t) = g(t)^2$. Since $D_{KL}(q_0 \parallel p_0^{sde}) = -\mathbb{E}_{q_0} \log(p_0^{sde}) + C$, the proposed weighting scheme can bound the negative log-likelihood of the generated sample. Concurrently, [87] and [190] both demonstrate that the negative log-likelihood of any given sample can be bounded as the following:

$$-\log p_0^{sde}(\mathbf{x}) \le \mathcal{L}'(\mathbf{x}),\tag{40}$$

 $\mathcal{L}'(\mathbf{x})$ is defined as following:

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}||g(s)\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_s,s)||^2 + \nabla \cdot (g(s)^2 - f(s,\mathbf{x}_s))\right| \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}\right] ds - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_T}[\log p_0^{sde}(\mathbf{x}_T) \mid \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}]$$

The first part of Equation (41) can be seen as the objective of the implicit score matching method [89]. Thus, matching the score function amounts to minimizing an upper bound of the negative log-likelihood of samples, which are generated by the reverse plug-in SDE.

On the other hand, [128] proves that we need to bound the first, second, and third-order score matching errors to maximize the likelihood of the learned diffusion ODE. It demonstrates that matching the first order score is not enough to maximize the log-likelihood of generated data in the diffusion ODE:

$$\mathbf{D}_{KL}(q_0||p_0^{ode}) = \mathbf{D}_{KL}(q_T||p_T^{ode}) + \mathcal{L}_{ode}(\theta),$$
(41)

where $\mathcal{L}_{ode}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}(\theta; g(\cdot)^2) + \mathcal{L}_{dif}(\theta)$ and:

$$\mathcal{L}_{dif}(\theta) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T g(t)^2 \mathbb{E}_{q_t(\mathbf{x}_t)} \Big[(\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log q_t(\mathbf{x}_t))^\top (\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_t^{ode}(\mathbf{x}_t) - \mathbf{s}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t)) \Big] dt.$$
(42)

Thus optimizing $\mathcal{L}(\theta; g(\cdot)^2)$ alone is not equivalent to minimizing an upper bound of sample likelihood in probability flow ODE. Furthermore, it can be proved that, under mild conditions, one can minimize the likelihood's upper bound by matching the score function's first-, second-, and third-order. In addition, efficient training algorithms are available. Using techniques from stochastic optimal control, SB-FBSDE [27] provides a novel computational framework for

likelihood training of Schrödinger Bridge (SB) models. It constructs new likelihood objectives for SB models and encapsulates the ones for SGM as special cases [87, 190].

5 DIFFUSION MODELS WITH DATA-GENERALIZATION ENHANCEMENT

Diffusion models assume data is supported on a Euclidean space, i.e., a manifold with a flat geometry. Adding Gaussian noise would inevitably transform the data into continuous state spaces. Various studies have focused on addressing these limitations [7, 19, 41, 71, 86, 126, 153, 204, 215, 225]. There are two methods for data generalization: Feature Space Unification and Data-Dependent Transition Kernels, and we will discuss them in detail.

5.1 Feature Space Unification

To apply diffusion models to any data type, one can unify the feature space by first encoding the data to the continuous latent space and then applying the diffusion model to the space, relying on the VAE framework. The key issue here is how to design loss function to jointly learn the VAE and the diffusion models since the prior distribution of the latent variable should achieve both flexibility and tractability. LSGM [204] handles the problem in the continuous-time setting directly. It identifies that the score-matching loss is no longer applicable due to the intractability of the latent prior:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_t(\mathbf{x}) = \int p_{0t}(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_0) p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0) d\mathbf{z}$$
(43)

where $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is the latent prior parameterized by a neural network. This issue is inevitable for objectives in score-based generative models since they require the score function in the forward process to be available or prefixed. Using the ELBO in VAE as a loss function, LSGM [204] derives the connection of ELBO with score-matching objectives in score-based generative models, allowing for efficient training and sampling. It proves that the cross entropy term $\mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x}), p(\mathbf{x}_0))$ in the ELBO can be transformed as follow:

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\frac{g(t)^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}_{q_{0t}(\mathbf{x}_{t},\mathbf{x}_{0}|\mathbf{x})}[||\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{t}}\log q(\mathbf{x}_{t}|\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}_{t}}\log p(\mathbf{x}_{t})||_{2}^{2}]\right]$$
(44)

where $q(\mathbf{x}_0|\mathbf{x})$ and $p(\mathbf{x}_0)$ are marginal distribution of SDE (Equation (11)) and \mathbf{x} is the original data, $t \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$. Using this formula and the fact that $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$ is tractable, one can parameterize $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_t} \log p(\mathbf{x}_t)$ and optimize the ELBO. [215] uses another method and circumvents the problem by designing the VLB of diffusion model combined with reconstruction loss of VAE.

Alternatively, one can take a unified perspective that the feature space is a manifold. Recent studies have generalized the diffusion model to data living in a manifold, including graph as a special case [86, 126, 203]. Assuming mild conditions fit a large class of manifolds such as sphere and torus, RSGM [19] proves that we can extend diffusion models on manifolds, and PNDM [126] proposes a pseudo numerical method to solve differential equations on manifolds.

5.2 Data-Dependent Transition Kernels

One can also diffuse the data directly by designing the transition kernels that fit the data type. VQ-Diffusion and D3PM [7, 71, 225] generalize DDPM to discrete state case, where the data is binary or categorical. Here the noise is a random walk on all states or a random mask operation. The transition kernel can be written as follows:

$$q(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) = \mathbf{v}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_t) \mathbf{Q}_t \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}), \tag{45}$$

Model	Article	Year
	Luo et al. [134]	2022
VAE	Hunag et al. [87]	2021
	Vadhat et al. [204]	2021
CAN	Wang et al. [212]	2022
OAN	Xiao et al. [223]	2021
Normalizing Flow	Zhang et al.[244]	2021
Normanzing 110w	Gong et al. [64]	2021
Autoregressive Model	Hoogeboom et al.[83]	2021
Autoregressive Moder	Rasul et al. [166]	2021
Energy-based Model	Gao et al. [61]	2021
Lifergy-based Model	Yu et al. [241]	2022

Table 3. Diffusion models are incorporated into different generative models.

where $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ is a one-hot column vector, and \mathbf{Q}_t is the transition kernel of a lazy random walk. Other methods include using absorbing state kernel or a discretized Gaussian kernel [7]. [21] provides the continuous time framework for denoising diffusion models of discrete data, and derives new transition kernel and samplers. The unsolved problem here is that data in discrete space does not have a score function, even in the continuous-time setting. For further discussion, please refer to Section.8.

There are also generalization examples of other data structures, such as graphs and point clouds [153, 228]. To obtain an ordering-invariant graphs distribution, EDP-GNN [153] designs a permutation-equivariant graph neural network to model the gradients of the data distribution, by adding symmetric noise. To generate a rotation and translation-invariant distribution for point clouds data, GEODIFF [228] proves that Markov chains starting with an invariant prior and evolving with equivariant Markov kernels can induce an invariant marginal distribution. Formally, \mathcal{T} is a rotation or translation operation, if:

$$p(\mathbf{x}_T) = p(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_T)), \ p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) = p(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1})|\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_t)), \tag{46}$$

then the marginal distribution is invariant, i.e., $p_0(\mathbf{x}) = p_0(\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}))$. They further build blocks for the equivariant Markov kernels.

6 CONNECTIONS WITH OTHER GENERATIVE MODELS

Generative models are a hot research area that has many applications. For example, they can be utilized to generate highquality images [100], synthesize realistic speech and music [205], advance semi-supervised learning [38, 108], identify adversarial examples [193], conduct imitation learning [77], and make optimization in reinforcement learning [156]. In each subsection, we first introduce five other important classes of generative models and analyze their advantages and limitations. Then we introduce how diffusion models are connected with them, and illustrate how these generative models are promoted by incorporating diffusion models. The algorithms that integrate diffusion models with other generative models are summarized in Table 3, and we also provide a schematic illustration in Figure 3.

6.1 Variational Autoencoder and Its Connections with Diffusion Models

Variational Autoencoders [50, 109, 171] expect to learn both an encoder and a decoder to map input data to values in a continuous latent space. They provide a formulation where the embedding can be interpreted as a latent variable in a Manuscript submitted to ACM

Variational AutoEncoder

$$x \longrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Forward Diffusion} \\ q(x_i | x_{i-1}) \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow x_T \longrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Reverse Diffusion} \\ p_{\theta}(x_{i-1} | x_i) \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \tilde{x}$$

Generative Adversarial Network



Normalizing Flow



Autoregressive Model

$$x \longrightarrow$$
 Diffusion-based Training $\longrightarrow \tilde{x}$

Energy-based Model

$$x \sim p_{\theta}(x) \longrightarrow$$
 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Perturbation} \\ q(\bar{x}|x) \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Diffusion Recovery Likelihood} \\ p_{\theta}(x|\bar{x}) \end{array} \longrightarrow \tilde{x}$

Fig. 3. Illustrations about works incorporating diffusion models with other generative models.

probabilistic generative model, and a probabilistic decoder can be formulated by a parameterized likelihood function. The model assumes that the data **x** is generated by some unobserved latent variable **z** using conditional distribution $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})$, and it uses $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ to approximately inference **z**. To guarantee an effective inference, a variational Bayes approach and this process is implemented by maximizing the evidence lower bound (ELBO):

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \theta; \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{q(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})} \left[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \log q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) \right], \tag{47}$$

with $\mathcal{L}(\phi, \theta; \mathbf{x}) \leq \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$. Provided that the parameterized likelihood function $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{x})$ and the parameterized posterior approximation $q_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$ can be computed in a point-wise way, and are differentiable with their parameters, the ELBO can be maximized with gradient descent. It allows flexible choices of encoder and decoder models. Usually, they will be represented by exponential family distributions whose parameters are generated by multi-layer neural networks.

DDPM can be treated as a hierarchical Markovian VAE and with a prefixed encoder. The forward process denotes the encoder, and the reverse process denotes the decoder. Furthermore, DDPM shares the decoder across multiple Manuscript submitted to ACM

layers, and all the latent variables are of the same size as the sample data [134]. In the continuous-time setting, [87] demonstrates that the score-matching objective can be further approximated by the ELBO of a deep hierarchical VAE. In this way, optimizing a diffusion model can be viewed as training an infinite, deep, hierarchical VAE, which justifies the common belief that the diffusion models can be interpreted as the continuous limit of hierarchical VAEs. [204] also illustrates that the ELBO is a special score-matching objective by diffusing the latent space.

6.2 Generative Adversarial Network and Its Connections with Diffusion Models

Generative adversarial networks (GANs) [36, 65, 72] have received lots of attention because of their interesting adversarial ideas and promising architectures. GANs mainly consist of two models: a generator G and a discriminator D. These two models are typically constructed by neural networks but could be implemented in any form of a differentiable system that maps input data from one space to another. The generator G tries to model the distribution of true examples, and generate new examples. The discriminator D is usually a binary classifier that is used to identify generated examples from true examples with maximally possible accuracy. The optimization of GANs can be viewed as a minimax optimization problem with value function V(G, D) as the following:

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \boldsymbol{p}_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})} [\log D(\mathbf{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z} \sim \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{z})} [\log(1 - D(G(\mathbf{z})))].$$
(48)

The optimization finishes at a saddle point that produces a minimum about the generator and a maximum about the discriminator. It means the goal of GAN optimization is to achieve Nash equilibrium [169]. At that point, the generator can be considered that it has captured the accurate distribution of real examples.

One of the main practical issues of GAN is the instability in the training process, which is mainly caused by the non-overlapping between the distribution of input data and that of the generated data. A practical solution is to inject noise into the discriminator input. Taking advantage of the flexible diffusion model, [212] proposes to inject noise to the discriminator with an adaptive noise schedule, determined by a diffusion model. Conversely, GAN can help with the sampling speed of diffusion models. [223] argues that slow sampling is caused by the Gaussian assumption in the denoising step, which is justified only for small step sizes. Thus it proposes to model each denoising step using a conditional GAN, allowing larger step size.

6.3 Normalizing Flow and Its Connections with Diffusion Models

Normalizing flows [47, 170] is a kind of promising class of models due to the exact density evaluation and ability to model high-dimensional data [48, 107]. Normalizing flow can transform simple probability distribution into an extremely complex probability distribution, which can be used in generative models, reinforcement learning, variational inference, and other fields. The tools needed to build it are Determinant, Jacobi Matrix, and Change of Variable Theorem. The trajectory in normalizing flows is formulated by a differential equation. In the discrete-time setting, the mapping from data **x** to latent **z** in normalizing flows is a composition of a sequence of bijective functions as $F = F_N \circ F_{N-1} \circ \ldots \circ F_1$. Trajectory $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ satisfies :

$$\mathbf{x}_i = F_i(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \theta), \ \mathbf{x}_{i-1} = F_i^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i, \theta)$$
(49)

for all $i \le N$. Similar to the continuous setting, the exact log-likelihood is accessible in normalizing flows based on the rule for variable changing. Unfortunately, the bijective requirement also has limitations on modeling complex data, both empirically and theoretically [35, 216]. Some works attempt to relax the bijective requirement [48, 216]. DiffFlow [244] presents a novel generative modeling algorithm, combining the advantages of flow-based and diffusion models. Manuscript submitted to ACM

Thus, it can not only acquire sharper boundaries than normalizing flow and learn more general distribution with fewer discretization steps than diffusion probabilistic models.

6.4 Autoregressive Models and Its Connections with Diffusion Models

Autoregressive Models (ARMs) is to decompose the joint distribution of data into a product of conditional distributions using the probability chain rule:

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}_{1:T}) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(x_t | \mathbf{x}_{< t})$$
(50)

where $\mathbf{x}_{<t}$ is a shorthand for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t-1} [13, 113]. Recent techniques in deep learning have allowed tremendous progress on various modalities, such as images [30, 206], audio [98, 205], and text [14, 20, 69, 144, 145], where they are referred to as language models in text modeling. The possibility of ARMs can be retrieved through the call of a single neural network; the generation of samples from the model requires the same number of network calls as the data dimension. Although autoregressive models are very powerful density estimators, sampling is essentially a continuous process and may be very slow on high-dimensional data. Autoregressive Diffusion Models (ARDMs) [83] propose a different autoregressive models, which learns to generate arbitrary-order data. ARDMs extend order-agnostic autoregressive models and discrete diffusion models [7, 84, 187]. Different from ARMs, ARDMs do not need to conduct causal masking on representations and thus can be trained with an effective objective, which is similar to diffusion probabilistic models. During the test procedure, ARDMs are capable of parallel generation so that it can apply to arbitrary-budget generation tasks.

6.5 Energy-based Models and Its Connections with Diffusion Models

Energy-based Models (EBMs) [23, 44, 52, 56, 59, 60, 66–68, 105, 112, 115, 147, 152, 161, 172, 224, 249] have recently received lots of attention. EBMs can be viewed as a kind of generative versions of discriminators [68, 93, 114, 117], while can be learned from unlabeled input data. Let $\mathbf{x} \sim p_{data}(\mathbf{x})$ denote a training example, and $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ denote a model's probability density function that aims to approximates $p_{data}(\mathbf{x})$. An energy-based model (EBM) is defined as the following:

$$p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z_{\theta}} \exp(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})), \tag{51}$$

where $Z_{\theta} = \int \exp(f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}$ is the partition function, which is analytically intractable for high-dimensional **x**. For images, $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ is parameterized by a convolutional neural network with a scalar output.

Although EBMs have a number of desirable properties, two challenges remain for training EBMs on high-dimensional data. First, learning EBMs by maximizing the likelihood requires Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to generate samples from the model, which can be very expensive. Second, as demonstrated in [151], the energy potentials learned with non-convergent MCMC are not stable, in the sense that samples from long-run Markov chains can be significantly different from the observed samples, and thus it is difficult to evaluate the learned energy potentials. A recent study [61] presents a diffusion recovery likelihood method to tractably learn samples from a sequence of EBMs in the reverse process of the diffusion model. Each EBM is trained with recovery likelihood, which aims to maximize the conditional probability of the data at a certain noise level, given their noisy versions at a higher noise level. EBMs maximize the recovery likelihood because it is more tractable than marginal likelihood, as sampling from the conditional distributions is much easier than sampling from the marginal distributions. This model can generate high-quality samples, and long-run MCMC samples from the conditional distributions still resemble realistic images. Manuscript submitted to ACM

7 A COLLECTION OF APPLICATIONS WITH DIFFUSION MODELS

Due to the flexibility and strength of diffusion models, they have recently been applied in many real-world applications. In this section, we categorize these applications into seven parts, including computer vision, natural language processing, waveform signal processing, multi-modal learning, molecular graph modeling, time series modeling, and adversarial purification. In each subsection, we first give a brief introduction to each task, and then introduce in detail how diffusion models are utilized to promote the performances. We summarize all the applications that utilize the diffusion models in Table 4.

Primary Category	Secondary Category	Article
	Image Super Resolution and Inpainting	[119] [177],[173],[130],[175], [79],[12],[157],[34],[194],[32]
Computer Vision	Semantic Segmentation	[11],[6]
	Anomaly Detection	[222],[62]
	Point Cloud Completion and Generation	[253],[135],[140],[127]
	Video Generation	[76],[81],[235],[243]
Natural Language Processing	Natural Language Processing	[7],[123],[28]
Waveform Signal Processing	Waveform Signal Processing	[26],[110]
Multi Madal Laguning	Text-to-Image Generation	[8],[165],[176],[148],[71],[55],[174]
Multi-Modal Learning	Taxt to Audio Concretion	[160], [229], [219], [118], [198],
	Text-to-Audio Generation	[124],[88],[104]
Molecular Graph Modeling	Molecular Graph Modeling	[95],[82],[3],[228],[202],
		[217],[182],[137]
Time Series Modeling	Time Series Imputation	[200],[2],[158]
	Time Series Forecasting	[167],[2]
Adversarial Purification	Adversarial Purification	[150], [239], [17], [210], [218], [197]

Table 4. Summary of all the applications utilizing the diffusion models.

7.1 Computer Vision

7.1.1 Image Generation.

Image Super Resolution and Inpainting. Image super resolution aims to restore high-resolution (HR) images from low-resolution (LR) images while image inpainting is to reconstruct missing or ruined regions of an image. Super-Resolution Diffusion (SRDiff) [119] is the diffusion-based model for single image super-resolution, optimized with the variational bound on likelihood of the data. SRDiff is able to provide diverse and realistic super-resolution (SR) results through a gradual transformation of the Gaussian noise conditioned on an LR input with a Markov chain. Super-Resolution via Repeated Refinement (SR3) [177] adopts denoising diffusion probabilistic models [78, 186] to make conditional image generation, and conducts image super resolution through a stochastic iterative denoising process. LDM [173] proposes latent diffusion models, an effective method to advance both the training and sampling efficiency of denoising diffusion models without the loss of quality. To help diffusion models training using limited computational resources while maintaining both quality and flexibility, LDM also utilizes them in the latent space with pre-trained autoencoders. RePaint [130] designs an improved denoising strategy with resampling iterations for better conditioning the image. Instead of slowing down the diffusion process [46], RePaint goes forward and backward in the diffusion process, producing semantically meaningful images. Palette [175] proposes a unified framework based on Manuscript submitted to ACM

conditional diffusion models, and evaluates this framework on four challenging image generation tasks [91], such as colorization, inpainting, uncropping, and JPEG restoration. Cascaded Diffusion Models (CDM) [79] consists of cascaded multiple diffusion models which generate images of gradually increasing resolution. CDM is capable of generating high-quality images on the class-conditional ImageNet [43] generation benchmark dataset, without any supervision information from auxiliary image classifiers. Multi-Speed Diffusion (MSDiff) [12] produces a conditional multi-speed diffusive estimator (CMDE), an estimator of conditional score, which combines previous conditional score estimation methods [177, 200]. Additionally, there are also some works applying the diffusion models to medical image denoising and super resolution [32, 34, 157, 194].

Semantic Segmentation. Semantic segmentation is to cluster parts of an image that belongs to the same category. Pre-training can improve the label utilization of semantic segmentation models, and an alternative way to pre-train semantic segmentation models is generative modeling. A recent study [11] investigates the representations learned by the state-of-the-art DDPM [78] and demonstrates that it can capture high-level semantical information that is valuable for downstream vision tasks. It develops a simple approach in the few-shot operating point that exploits these learned representations and significantly outperforms the alternatives including VDVAE [29] and ALAE [159]. Inspired by the success of diffusion models, scholars also investigate the effectiveness of representations learned by denoising autoencoders [207] for semantic segmentation. Decoder Denoising Pretraining (DDeP) [6] initializes the encoder using a supervised learning procedure, and pre-train only the decoder guided by the denoising objective.

Anomaly Detection. Anomaly detection is a critical and challenging problem in machine learning [250] and computer vision [230]. Generative models have been shown to own a powerful mechanism for anomaly detection [75]. They help model normal or healthy reference data, which can subsequently be utilized as a baseline for scoring anomalies [181], including GAN, VAE, and diffusion models [62, 222]. AnoDDPM [222] presents a new anomaly detection method that utilizes DDPM to corrupt the input image and reconstruct a healthy approximation of the image. This method has superiority over adversarial training as it can better model smaller datasets with advanced sample quality and more stable training. DDPM-CD [62] proposes a new way to incorporate large numbers of unsupervised remote sensing images into the training process through DDPM. It conducts remote sensing change detection by utilizing a pre-trained DDPM and applying the multi-scale representations from the diffusion model decoder. It aims to train a light change detection classifier to detect precise changes effectively.

Point Cloud Completion and Generation. 3D point cloud is a critical form of 3D representation for capturing real-world 3D objects. Nevertheless, scanned point clouds in the real world are usually incomplete because of partial observation and self-occlusion. It is important to recover the complete shape by reasoning the missing parts for many downstream tasks, including 3D reconstruction, augmented reality (AR) and scene understanding [140]. A probabilistic model captures the uncertain, multi-modal nature of the generation or completion problem: it may sample and produce diverse shapes from scratch or partial observations [136]. PVD [253] integrates denoising diffusion models with the pointvoxel representation of 3D shapes. Inspired by the diffusion process in non-equilibrium thermodynamics, [135] treats the points in point clouds as particles in a thermodynamic system in connection with a heat bath, which makes diffusion from the original distribution to a noise distribution. Point Diffusion-Refinement (PDR) [140] utilizes a conditional DDPM to generate a coarse completion given the partial observation and constructs a 1-to-1 point-wise mapping between the generated point cloud and the uniform ground truth. Then it optimizes the mean squared error loss to achieve uniform generation.

7.1.2 Video Generation. In the era of deep learning, high-quality video generation remains challenging due to the spatio-temporal continuity and complexity of video frames [233, 242]. Recent studies resort to diffusion models to improve the quality of the generated videos. Flexible Diffusion Model (FDM) [76] proposes a new video generation framework based on DDPM that produces long-term video completions in different realistic scenarios. It introduces a generative model that can sample any arbitrary subset of video frames conditioned on any other subset during test time, and present an architecture designed for this purpose. Inspired by recent advances in neural video compression [236], Residual Video Diffusion (RVD) [235] presents an autoregressive, end-to-end optimized video diffusion model. It successively generates future frames by correcting a deterministic next-frame prediction with a stochastic residual, which is generated by an inverse diffusion process. Video Diffusion Model (VDM) [81] introduces a conditional sampling method for spatio-temporal video extension. It outperforms previously proposed methods and generates long, higher-resolution videos.

7.2 Natural Language Processing

Natural language processing is the research area that aims to understand, model, and manage human languages. Text generation, also referred to as natural language generation, has become one of the most critical and challenging tasks in natural language processing [90]. It aims to produce plausible and readable text in the human language given input data (e.g., a sequence and keywords) or random noise. Researchers have developed numerous techniques for a wide range of applications of text generation [120, 121]. Discrete Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (D3PMs) [7] introduce diffusion-like generative models for character-level text generation [25]. They generalize the multinomial diffusion model [85] through going beyond corruption processes with uniform transition probabilities.

Large autoregressive language models (LMs) is able to generate high-quality text [20, 31, 164, 247]. To reliably deploy these LMs in real-world applications, the text generation process is usually expected to be controllable. It means we need to generate text that can satisfy desired requirements (e.g., topic, syntactic structure). Controlling the behavior of language models (LMs) without re-training is a major and important problem in text generation [39, 102]. Although recent works have achieved remarkable successes on controlling simple sentence attributes (e.g., sentiment) [111, 231], there is little progress on complex, fine-grained controls (e.g., syntactic structure). In order to tackle more complex controls, Diffusion-LM [123] proposes a new language model based on continuous diffusions. Diffusion-LM starts with a sequence of Gaussian noise vectors and incrementally denoises them into vectors corresponding to words. The gradual denoising steps help produce hierarchical continuous latent representations. This hierarchical and continuous latent variable can make it possible for simple, gradient-based methods to accomplish complex control. Analog Bits [28] generates the analog bits to represent the discrete variables and further improves the sample quality with self-Conditioning and asymmetric time intervals.

7.3 Waveform Signal Processing

In electronics, acoustics, and some related fields, the waveform of a signal is denoted by the shape of its graph as a function of time, independent of its time and magnitude scales. Generative models for waveform are important in speech generation tasks. WaveGrad [26] introduces a conditional model for waveform generation that estimates gradients of the data density. The model is constructed on the basis of prior score matching and diffusion probabilistic models. It receives a Gaussian white noise signal as input and iteratively refines the signal with a gradient-based sampler. WaveGrad naturally trades inference speed for sample quality by adjusting the number of refinement steps, and make a connection between non-autoregressive and autoregressive models with respect to audio quality. DiffWave [110] Manuscript submitted to ACM

presents a versatile and powerful diffusion probabilistic model for conditional or unconditional waveform generation. The model is non-autoregressive, and it is efficiently trained by optimizing a variant of variational bound on the data likelihood. Moreover, it produces high-fidelity audio in different waveform generation tasks, such as class-conditional generation and unconditional generation.

7.4 Multi-Modal Learning

7.4.1 Text-to-Image Generation. Vision-language models have attracted a lot of attention recently due to the number of potential applications [163]. Text-to-Image generation is the task of generating a corresponding image from a descriptive text [51]. Blended diffusion [8] utilizes both pre-trained DDPM [45] and CLIP [163] models, and it proposes a solution for region-based image editing for general purposes, which uses natural language guidance and is applicable to real and diverse images. unCLIP [165] proposes a two-stage model, a prior model that can generate a CLIP-based image embedding conditioned on a text caption, and a diffusion-based decoder that can generate an image conditioned on the image embedding. Imagen [176] proposes a text-to-image diffusion model with a better language understanding and an unprecedented degree of photorealism. Inspired by the ability of guided diffusion models [45, 80] to generate photorealistic samples and the ability of text-to-image models to handle free-form prompts, GLIDE [148] applies guided diffusion to the application of text-conditioned image synthesis. VQ-Diffusion [71] proposes a vector-quantized diffusion model for text-to-image generation, and it eliminates the unidirectional bias and avoids accumulative prediction errors.

7.4.2 Text-to-Audio Generation. Text-to-audio generation is the task to transform normal language texts to voice outputs [118, 124, 219]. Grad-TTS [160] presents a novel text-to-speech model with a score-based decoder and diffusion models. It gradually transforms noise predicted by the encoder and is further aligned with text input by the method of Monotonic Alignment Search [162]. Grad-TTS2 [104] improves Grad-TTS in an adaptive way. Diffsound [229] presents a non-autoregressive decoder based on the discrete diffusion model [7, 186], which predicts all the mel-spectrogram tokens in every single step, and then refines the predicted tokens in the following steps. EdiTTS [198] leverages the score-based text-to-speech model to refine a mel-spectrogram prior that is coarsely modified. Instead of estimating the gradient of data density, ProDiff [88] parameterizes the denoising diffusion model by directly predicting the clean data.

7.5 Molecular Graph Modeling

Graph Neural Networks [73, 221, 234, 252] and corresponding representation learning [74] techniques have achieved great success [16, 201, 220, 227, 232, 255] in many areas, including modeling molecule graph in various tasks ranging from property prediction [53, 63] to molecule generation [94, 183], where a molecule is naturally represented by a node-edge graph. Despite their effectiveness in different applications, more intrinsic and informative properties begin to be combined with diffusion models for enhancing molecular graph modeling. Torsional diffusion [95] presents a new diffusion framework that makes operations on the space of torsion angles with a diffusion model for 3D molecule generation which is equivariant to Euclidean transformations. Another equivariant diffusion model [3] presents a fully data-driven denoising diffusion probabilistic model for protein structure and sequence, which is able to generate highly realistic proteins across the full range of domains in the Protein DataBank (PDB) [15]. Motivated by the classical force field methods for simulating molecular dynamics, ConfGF [182] directly estimates the gradient fields of the log density of atomic coordinates in molecular conformation generation. Dynamic Graph Score Matching (DGSM) [137] dynamically constructs graph structures between atoms according to their spatial correlations in both training and Manuscript submitted to ACM

testing processes and thus can model both local and long-range interactions for predicting molecular conformation. Geometric diffusion [228] treats each atom as a particle and learns to reverse the diffusion process as a Markov chain. A general solution to motif-scaffolding problem [202] proposes a 3D protein-backbone generative model and is able to generate backbone samples that structurally agree with AlphaFold2 [97] predictions.

7.6 Time Series Modeling

7.6.1 *Time Series Imputation.* Time series data are widely used with many important real-world applications [54, 155, 233, 248]. Nevertheless, time series usually contain missing values for multiple reasons, caused by mechanical or artificial errors [185, 199, 238]. In recent years, imputation methods based on deep neural networks have shown remarkable success for both deterministic imputation [22, 24, 139] and probabilistic imputation [57]. These imputation methods typically utilize a variant form of autoregressive models to process time series, and recent work has begun to utilize powerful diffusion models to handle this problem. CSDI [200] presents a novel time series imputation method that leverages score-based diffusion models. Specifically, for the purpose of exploiting correlations within temporal data, it adopts the form of self-supervised training to optimize diffusion models. Its application in some real-world datasets reveals its superiority over previous methods. CSDE [158] proposes a novel probabilistic framework for modeling stochastic dynamics with a neural-controlled stochastic differential equation. SSSD [2] integrates conditional diffusion models and structured state-space models [70] to particularly capture long-term dependencies in time series. It performs well in both time series imputation and forecasting tasks.

7.6.2 *Time Series Forecasting.* Time series forecasting is the task of forecasting or predicting the future value over a period of time. Neural methods have recently become widely-used for solving the prediction problem with univariate point forecasting methods [154] or univariate probabilistic methods [179]. In the multivariate setting, we also have point forecasting methods [122] as well as probabilistic methods, which explicitly model the data distribution using Gaussian copulas [180], GANs [240], or normalizing flows [168]. TimeGrad [167] presents an autoregressive model for forecasting multivariate probabilistic time series, which samples from the data distribution at each time step through estimating its gradient. To this end, it utilizes diffusion probabilistic models, which are closely connected with score matching and energy-based methods. Specifically, TimeGrad learns gradients by optimizing a variational bound on the data likelihood and transforms white noise into a sample of the distribution of interest through a Markov chain using Langevin sampling [191] during inference time.

7.7 Adversarial Purification

Adversarial purification [18, 150, 210, 218, 239] denotes a class of defense methods that eliminate adversarial perturbations using a generative model [150]. While adversarial training [142] is viewed as a standard defense method against adversarial attacks for image classifiers, adversarial purification has shown significant performances as an alternative defense method [239], which purifies attacked images into clean images with a standalone purification model. Given an adversarial example, DiffPure [150] diffuses it with a small amount of noise following a forward diffusion process and then restores the clean image with a reverse generative process. Adaptive Denoising Purification (ADP) [239] demonstrates that an Energy-based Model EBM trained with Denoising Score-Matching (DSM) can effectively purify attacked images within just a few steps. It further proposes an effective randomized purification scheme, injecting random noises into images before purification. Projected Gradient Descent (PGD) [17] presents a novel stochastic Manuscript submitted to ACM diffusion-based pre-processing robustification, which aims to be a model-agnostic adversarial defense and yield a high-quality denoised outcome.

Some works propose to apply a guided diffusion process for advanced adversarial purification. Guided Diffusion Model for Purification (GDMP) [210] incorporates purification into the diffusion-denoising process of a DDPM; therefore, its diffusion process could submerge the adversarial perturbations with Gaussian noises that are gradually added, and both these noises can be simultaneously eliminated following a guided denoising process. [218] uncovers the fundamental correlations between the unguided diffusion model-based adversarial purification and randomized smoothing techniques, producing a provable defense mechanism.

8 FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Re-Examining Practical Conditions. We still need to check some commonly-believed premises in diffusion models. For example, it is a general belief in practice that the forward process of diffusion models will transform data to standard Gaussian noise. However, finite-time solutions of the forward diffusion SDE still remember the distribution of original data. [58] has studied this issue, but its result only works when one can accurately estimate the score function. Thus there is a trade-off needed to be further considered between long-term diffusion and short-term diffusion. Long-term diffusion ensures that the perturbed data distribution is close to the given prior while short diffusion time can reduce fitting error and sampling time. Nevertheless, the ideal conditions are hard to acquire, and thus operations in practice would lead to mismatches. We should realize this situation and design proper diffusion models.

From Discrete to Continuous Time. Due to the flexibility of diffusion models, many empirical methods can be strengthened through further analysis [9, 99, 103, 192]. For example, studies have found that analyzing the continuous time diffusion model can yield better sample quality [7, 21]. Thus one can transform the discrete-time diffusion model into a corresponding continuous-time diffusion model, then analyze and design the model. This line of research is promising, especially when one has already confirmed the noise type and schedule in a discrete-time setting [9, 21].

New Generation Procedure. The diffusion models generate samples through two major approaches: one is discretizing the reverse diffusion SDE and then generating samples through the discrete reverse SDE, and another is using the property of Markov chain in the reverse process to denoise the sample step by step. However, for some diffusion models, it is hard to apply these approaches to generate samples in practice. For example, it is hard for discrete states diffusion models to find the corresponding reverse SDE, since these diffusion models usually utilize random walk or masking noises and thus can not estimate the transition kernel of the reverse diffusion chain without introducing extra variance. Therefore, new generation procedures should be further studied [7, 9, 40, 184, 189].

Generalizing to Complex Scenarios and More Research Areas. As illustrated in Section.7, diffusion models have been applied in seven different types of scenarios, ranging from computer vision to adversarial purification. However, there still exist some scenarios remaining underexplored [211], such as text-to-audiovisual speech synthesis [37] and visual question answering [5]. Additionally, we can obviously find that most existing applications are limited to single input/output or simple input/output. Therefore, it is critical and challenging for researchers to enable the diffusion models to process complex inputs and generate multiple outputs, and achieve better performance in real-world scenarios. Although diffusion models have been investigated in some research areas like robust learning, representative learning, and reinforcement learning, there still exist opportunities to connect with more research areas [143, 208].

9 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present a new and systematical taxonomy of both diffusion models and their applications. Specifically, we categorize the existing diffusion models into three categories: sampling-acceleration, likelihood-maximization, and data-generalization, with respect to different aspects of diffusion model enhancement. Moreover, we categorize the applications of diffusion models into seven categories: computer vision, natural language processing, waveform signal processing, multi-modal learning, molecular graph generation, time series modeling, and adversarial purification. Finally, we propose new perspectives with respect to the development of diffusion models in both algorithms and applications.

REFERENCES

- Korbinian Abstreiter, Stefan Bauer, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Arash Mehrjou. 2021. Diffusion-Based Representation Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.14257.
- [2] J. Alcaraz and Nils Strodthoff. 2022. Diffusion-based Time Series Imputation and Forecasting with Structured State Space Models. ArXiv abs/2208.09399 (2022).
- [3] Namrata Anand and Tudor Achim. 2022. Protein Structure and Sequence Generation with Equivariant Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15019 (2022).
- [4] Theodore Wilbur Anderson. 1958. Introduction to multivariate statistical analysis.
- [5] Stanislaw Antol, Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Margaret Mitchell, Dhruv Batra, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. 2015. VQA: Visual Question Answering. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2015, Santiago, Chile, December 7-13, 2015. IEEE Computer Society, 2425–2433. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2015.279
- [6] Emmanuel Brempong Asiedu, Simon Kornblith, Ting Chen, Niki Parmar, Matthias Minderer, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2022. Decoder Denoising Pretraining for Semantic Segmentation. ArXiv abs/2205.11423 (2022).
- [7] Jacob Austin, Daniel D Johnson, Jonathan Ho, Daniel Tarlow, and Rianne van den Berg. 2021. Structured denoising diffusion models in discrete state-spaces. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 17981–17993.
- [8] Omri Avrahami, Dani Lischinski, and Ohad Fried. 2022. Blended diffusion for text-driven editing of natural images. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 18208–18218.
- [9] Arpit Bansal, Eitan Borgnia, Hong-Min Chu, Jie Li, Hamideh Kazemi, Furong Huang, Micah Goldblum, Jonas Geiping, and Tom Goldstein. 2022. Cold Diffusion: Inverting Arbitrary Image Transforms Without Noise. ArXiv abs/2208.09392 (2022).
- [10] Fan Bao, Chongxuan Li, Jun Zhu, and Bo Zhang. 2021. Analytic-DPM: an Analytic Estimate of the Optimal Reverse Variance in Diffusion Probabilistic Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [11] Dmitry Baranchuk, Ivan Rubachev, Andrey Voynov, Valentin Khrulkov, and Artem Babenko. 2022. Label-Efficient Segmentation with Diffusion Models. ArXiv abs/2112.03126 (2022).
- [12] Georgios Batzolis, Jan Stanczuk, Carola-Bibiane Schönlieb, and Christian Etmann. 2021. Conditional image generation with score-based diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.13606 (2021).
- [13] Samy Bengio and Yoshua Bengio. 2000. Taking on the curse of dimensionality in joint distributions using neural networks. IEEE Trans. Neural Networks Learn. Syst. (2000).
- [14] Yoshua Bengio, Réjean Ducharme, Pascal Vincent, and Christian Janvin. 2003. A neural probabilistic language model. The journal of machine learning research 3 (2003), 1137–1155.
- [15] Helen M Berman, John Westbrook, Zukang Feng, Gary Gilliland, Talapady N Bhat, Helge Weissig, Ilya N Shindyalov, and Philip E Bourne. 2000. The protein data bank. Nucleic acids research 28, 1 (2000), 235–242.
- [16] Piotr Bielak, Tomasz Kajdanowicz, and Nitesh V Chawla. 2021. Graph Barlow Twins: A self-supervised representation learning framework for graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.02466 (2021).
- [17] Tsachi Blau, Roy Ganz, Bahjat Kawar, Alex Bronstein, and Michael Elad. 2022. Threat Model-Agnostic Adversarial Defense using Diffusion Models. ArXiv abs/2207.08089 (2022).
- [18] Tsachi Blau, Roy Ganz, Bahjat Kawar, Alex Bronstein, and Michael Elad. 2022. Threat Model-Agnostic Adversarial Defense using Diffusion Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.08089 (2022).
- [19] Valentin De Bortoli, Emile Mathieu, Michael Hutchinson, James Thornton, Yee Whye Teh, and A. Doucet. 2022. Riemannian Score-Based Generative Modeling. ArXiv abs/2202.02763 (2022).
- [20] Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in neural information processing systems 33 (2020), 1877–1901.
- [21] Andrew Campbell, Joe Benton, Valentin De Bortoli, Tom Rainforth, George Deligiannidis, and A. Doucet. 2022. A Continuous Time Framework for Discrete Denoising Models. ArXiv abs/2205.14987 (2022).

- [22] Wei Cao, Dong Wang, Jian Li, Hao Zhou, Lei Li, and Yitan Li. 2018. Brits: Bidirectional recurrent imputation for time series. Advances in neural information processing systems 31 (2018).
- [23] Tong Che, Ruixiang Zhang, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Hugo Larochelle, Liam Paull, Yuan Cao, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. Your GAN is Secretly an Energy-based Model and You Should use Discriminator Driven Latent Sampling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.06060 (2020).
- [24] Zhengping Che, Sanjay Purushotham, Kyunghyun Cho, David Sontag, and Yan Liu. 2018. Recurrent neural networks for multivariate time series with missing values. Scientific reports 8, 1 (2018), 1–12.
- [25] Ciprian Chelba, Tomas Mikolov, Mike Schuster, Qi Ge, Thorsten Brants, Phillipp Koehn, and Tony Robinson. 2013. One billion word benchmark for measuring progress in statistical language modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.3005 (2013).
- [26] Nanxin Chen, Yu Zhang, Heiga Zen, Ron J. Weiss, Mohammad Norouzi, and William Chan. 2021. WaveGrad: Estimating Gradients for Waveform Generation. ArXiv abs/2009.00713 (2021).
- [27] Tianrong Chen, Guan-Horng Liu, and Evangelos Theodorou. 2021. Likelihood Training of Schrödinger Bridge using Forward-Backward SDEs Theory. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [28] Ting Chen, Ruixiang Zhang, and Geoffrey Hinton. 2022. Analog Bits: Generating Discrete Data using Diffusion Models with Self-Conditioning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04202 (2022).
- [29] Rewon Child. 2020. Very Deep VAEs Generalize Autoregressive Models and Can Outperform Them on Images. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [30] Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers. CoRR abs/1904.10509 (2019). arXiv:1904.10509 http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.10509
- [31] Aakanksha Chowdhery, Sharan Narang, Jacob Devlin, Maarten Bosma, Gaurav Mishra, Adam Roberts, Paul Barham, Hyung Won Chung, Charles Sutton, Sebastian Gehrmann, et al. 2022. PaLM: Scaling Language Modeling with Pathways. (2022).
- [32] Hyungjin Chung, Eun Sun Lee, and Jong Chul Ye. 2022. MR Image Denoising and Super-Resolution Using Regularized Reverse Diffusion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12621 (2022).
- [33] Hyungjin Chung, Byeongsu Sim, and Jong Chul Ye. 2022. Come-closer-diffuse-faster: Accelerating conditional diffusion models for inverse problems through stochastic contraction. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 12413–12422.
- [34] Hyungjin Chung and Jong Chul Ye. 2022. Score-based diffusion models for accelerated MRI. Medical Image Analysis (2022), 102479.
- [35] Rob Cornish, Anthony Caterini, George Deligiannidis, and Arnaud Doucet. 2020. Relaxing bijectivity constraints with continuously indexed normalising flows. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 2133–2143.
- [36] Antonia Creswell, Tom White, Vincent Dumoulin, Kai Arulkumaran, Biswa Sengupta, and Anil A Bharath. 2018. Generative adversarial networks: An overview. IEEE signal processing magazine 35, 1 (2018), 53–65.
- [37] Sara Dahmani, Vincent Colotte, Valérian Girard, and Slim Ouni. 2019. Conditional variational auto-encoder for text-driven expressive audiovisual speech synthesis. In INTERSPEECH 2019-20th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association.
- [38] Zihang Dai, Zhilin Yang, Fan Yang, William W Cohen, and Russ R Salakhutdinov. 2017. Good semi-supervised learning that requires a bad gan. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
- [39] Sumanth Dathathri, Andrea Madotto, Janice Lan, Jane Hung, Eric Frank, Piero Molino, Jason Yosinski, and Rosanne Liu. 2019. Plug and Play Language Models: A Simple Approach to Controlled Text Generation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [40] Valentin De Bortoli, Arnaud Doucet, Jeremy Heng, and James Thornton. 2021. Simulating diffusion bridges with score matching. arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.07243 (2021).
- [41] Valentin De Bortoli, Emile Mathieu, Michael Hutchinson, James Thornton, Yee Whye Teh, and Arnaud Doucet. 2022. Riemannian score-based generative modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.02763 (2022).
- [42] Valentin De Bortoli, James Thornton, Jeremy Heng, and Arnaud Doucet. 2021. Diffusion Schrödinger bridge with applications to score-based generative modeling. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 17695–17709.
- [43] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. 2009. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. Ieee, 248–255.
- [44] Guillaume Desjardins, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron C Courville. 2011. On tracking the partition function. In Advances in neural information processing systems. 2501–2509.
- [45] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. 2021. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 8780–8794.
- [46] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alex Nichol. 2021. Diffusion Models Beat GANs on Image Synthesis. ArXiv abs/2105.05233 (2021).
- [47] Laurent Dinh, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, and Samy Bengio. 2016. Density estimation using real nvp. arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.08803 (2016).
- [48] Laurent Dinh, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Hugo Larochelle, and Razvan Pascanu. 2019. A RAD approach to deep mixture models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07714* (2019).
- [49] Tim Dockhorn, Arash Vahdat, and Karsten Kreis. 2021. Score-Based Generative Modeling with Critically-Damped Langevin Diffusion. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [50] Carl Doersch. 2016. Tutorial on variational autoencoders. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.05908 (2016).
- [51] Yifan Du, Zikang Liu, Junyi Li, and Wayne Xin Zhao. 2022. A survey of vision-language pre-trained models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10936 (2022).
- [52] Yilun Du and Igor Mordatch. 2019. Implicit generation and generalization in energy-based models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.08689 (2019).

Diffusion Models: A Comprehensive Survey of Methods and Applications

- [53] David K Duvenaud, Dougal Maclaurin, Jorge Iparraguirre, Rafael Bombarell, Timothy Hirzel, Alán Aspuru-Guzik, and Ryan P Adams. 2015. Convolutional networks on graphs for learning molecular fingerprints. Advances in neural information processing systems 28 (2015).
- [54] Emadeldeen Eldele, Mohamed Ragab, Zhenghua Chen, Min Wu, Chee Keong Kwoh, Xiaoli Li, and Cuntai Guan. 2021. Time-Series Representation Learning via Temporal and Contextual Contrasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.14112 (2021).
- [55] Wanshu Fan, Yen-Chun Chen, Dongdong Chen, Yu Cheng, Lu Yuan, and Yu-Chiang Frank Wang. 2022. Frido: Feature Pyramid Diffusion for Complex Scene Image Synthesis. ArXiv abs/2208.13753 (2022).
- [56] Chelsea Finn, Paul Christiano, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. 2016. A connection between generative adversarial networks, inverse reinforcement learning, and energy-based models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.03852 (2016).
- [57] Vincent Fortuin, Dmitry Baranchuk, Gunnar Ratsch, and Stephan Mandt. 2020. Gp-vae: Deep probabilistic time series imputation. In International conference on artificial intelligence and statistics. PMLR, 1651–1661.
- [58] Giulio Franzese, Simone Rossi, Lixuan Yang, Alessandro Finamore, Dario Rossi, Maurizio Filippone, and Pietro Michiardi. 2022. How Much is Enough? A Study on Diffusion Times in Score-based Generative Models. ArXiv abs/2206.05173 (2022).
- [59] Ruiqi Gao, Yang Lu, Junpei Zhou, Song-Chun Zhu, and Ying Nian Wu. 2018. Learning generative convnets via multi-grid modeling and sampling. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 9155–9164.
- [60] Ruiqi Gao, Erik Nijkamp, Diederik P Kingma, Zhen Xu, Andrew M Dai, and Ying Nian Wu. 2020. Flow contrastive estimation of energy-based models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 7518–7528.
- [61] Ruiqi Gao, Yang Song, Ben Poole, Ying Nian Wu, and Diederik P. Kingma. 2021. Learning Energy-Based Models by Diffusion Recovery Likelihood. ArXiv abs/2012.08125 (2021).
- [62] Wele Gedara Chaminda Bandara, Nithin Gopalakrishnan Nair, and Vishal M Patel. 2022. Remote Sensing Change Detection (Segmentation) using Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models. arXiv e-prints (2022), arXiv-2206.
- [63] Justin Gilmer, Samuel S Schoenholz, Patrick F Riley, Oriol Vinyals, and George E Dahl. 2017. Neural message passing for quantum chemistry. In International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 1263–1272.
- [64] Wenbo Gong and Yingzhen Li. 2021. Interpreting diffusion score matching using normalizing flow. ArXiv abs/2107.10072 (2021).
- [65] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Generative adversarial nets. Advances in neural information processing systems 27 (2014).
- [66] Anirudh Goyal Alias Parth Goyal, Nan Rosemary Ke, Surya Ganguli, and Yoshua Bengio. 2017. Variational walkback: Learning a transition operator as a stochastic recurrent net. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 4392–4402.
- [67] Will Grathwohl, Kuan-Chieh Wang, Jörn-Henrik Jacobsen, David Duvenaud, Mohammad Norouzi, and Kevin Swersky. 2019. Your Classifier is Secretly an Energy Based Model and You Should Treat it Like One. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.03263 (2019).
- [68] Will Grathwohl, Kuan-Chieh Wang, Jorn-Henrik Jacobsen, David Duvenaud, and Richard Zemel. 2020. Cutting out the Middle-Man: Training and Evaluating Energy-Based Models without Sampling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.05616 (2020).
- [69] Alex Graves. 2013. Generating Sequences With Recurrent Neural Networks. CoRR abs/1308.0850 (2013). arXiv:1308.0850 http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.0850
 0850
- [70] Albert Gu, Karan Goel, and Christopher Re. 2021. Efficiently Modeling Long Sequences with Structured State Spaces. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [71] Shuyang Gu, Dong Chen, Jianmin Bao, Fang Wen, Bo Zhang, Dongdong Chen, Lu Yuan, and Baining Guo. 2022. Vector quantized diffusion model for text-to-image synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 10696–10706.
- [72] Jie Gui, Zhenan Sun, Yonggang Wen, Dacheng Tao, and Jieping Ye. 2021. A review on generative adversarial networks: Algorithms, theory, and applications. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* (2021).
- [73] William L Hamilton, Rex Ying, and Jure Leskovec. 2017. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. In Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems. 1025–1035.
- [74] William L Hamilton, Rex Ying, and Jure Leskovec. 2017. Representation learning on graphs: Methods and applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.05584 (2017).
- [75] Songqiao Han, Xiyang Hu, Hailiang Huang, Mingqi Jiang, and Yue Zhao. 2022. ADBench: Anomaly Detection Benchmark. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.09426 (2022).
- [76] William Harvey, Saeid Naderiparizi, Vaden Masrani, Christian Weilbach, and Frank Wood. 2022. Flexible Diffusion Modeling of Long Videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11495 (2022).
- [77] Jonathan Ho and Stefano Ermon. 2016. Generative adversarial imitation learning. Advances in neural information processing systems 29 (2016).
- [78] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- [79] Jonathan Ho, Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, David J Fleet, Mohammad Norouzi, and Tim Salimans. 2022. Cascaded Diffusion Models for High Fidelity Image Generation. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 23 (2022), 47–1.
- [80] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. 2022. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598 (2022).
- [81] Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, Alexey Gritsenko, William Chan, Mohammad Norouzi, and David J Fleet. 2022. Video diffusion models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.03458 (2022).

- [82] Emiel Hoogeboom, Victor Garcia Satorras, Clement Vignac, and Max Welling. 2022. Equivariant Diffusion for Molecule Generation in 3D. arXiv e-prints (2022), arXiv-2203.
- [83] Emiel Hoogeboom, Alexey A Gritsenko, Jasmijn Bastings, Ben Poole, Rianne van den Berg, and Tim Salimans. 2021. Autoregressive Diffusion Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [84] Emiel Hoogeboom, Didrik Nielsen, Priyank Jaini, Patrick Forré, and Max Welling. 2021. Argmax Flows and Multinomial Diffusion: Learning Categorical Distributions. CoRR abs/2102.05379 (2021).
- [85] Emiel Hoogeboom, Didrik Nielsen, Priyank Jaini, Patrick Forré, and Max Welling. 2021. Argmax flows and multinomial diffusion: Towards non-autoregressive language models. (2021).
- [86] Chin-Wei Huang, Milad Aghajohari, A. Bose, P. Panangaden, and Aaron C. Courville. 2022. Riemannian Diffusion Models.
- [87] Chin-Wei Huang, Jae Hyun Lim, and Aaron C Courville. 2021. A variational perspective on diffusion-based generative models and score matching. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 22863–22876.
- [88] Rongjie Huang, Zhou Zhao, Huadai Liu, Jinglin Liu, Chenye Cui, and Yi Ren. 2022. ProDiff: Progressive Fast Diffusion Model For High-Quality Text-to-Speech. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.06389 (2022).
- [89] Aapo Hyvärinen. 2005. Estimation of Non-Normalized Statistical Models by Score Matching. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 6 (2005), 695–709.
- [90] Touseef Iqbal and Shaima Qureshi. 2020. The survey: Text generation models in deep learning. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences (2020).
- [91] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. 2017. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 1125–1134.
- [92] Michael Janner, Yilun Du, Joshua B. Tenenbaum, and Sergey Levine. 2022. Planning with Diffusion for Flexible Behavior Synthesis. In ICML.
- [93] Long Jin, Justin Lazarow, and Zhuowen Tu. 2017. Introspective classification with convolutional nets. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 823–833.
- [94] Wengong Jin, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. 2018. Junction tree variational autoencoder for molecular graph generation. In International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 2323–2332.
- [95] Bowen Jing, Gabriele Corso, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi S Jaakkola. 2022. Torsional Diffusion for Molecular Conformer Generation. In ICLR2022 Machine Learning for Drug Discovery.
- [96] Alexia Jolicoeur-Martineau, Ke Li, Rémi Piché-Taillefer, Tal Kachman, and Ioannis Mitliagkas. 2021. Gotta Go Fast When Generating Data with Score-Based Models. (2021).
- [97] John Jumper, Richard Evans, Alexander Pritzel, Tim Green, Michael Figurnov, Olaf Ronneberger, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool, Russ Bates, Augustin Žídek, Anna Potapenko, et al. 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596, 7873 (2021), 583–589.
- [98] Nal Kalchbrenner, Erich Elsen, Karen Simonyan, Seb Noury, Norman Casagrande, Edward Lockhart, Florian Stimberg, Aäron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2018. Efficient Neural Audio Synthesis. In Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML.
- [99] Tero Karras, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, and Samuli Laine. 2022. Elucidating the Design Space of Diffusion-Based Generative Models. ArXiv abs/2206.00364 (2022).
- [100] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. 2019. A Style-Based Generator Architecture for Generative Adversarial Networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- [101] Bahjat Kawar, Roy Ganz, and Michael Elad. 2022. Enhancing Diffusion-Based Image Synthesis with Robust Classifier Guidance. ArXiv abs/2208.08664 (2022).
- [102] Nitish Shirish Keskar, Bryan McCann, Lav R Varshney, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2019. Ctrl: A conditional transformer language model for controllable generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.05858 (2019).
- [103] Dongjun Kim, Seungjae Shin, Kyungwoo Song, Wanmo Kang, and Il-Chul Moon. 2022. Soft Truncation: A Universal Training Technique of Scorebased Diffusion Model for High Precision Score Estimation. In Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 162), Kamalika Chaudhuri, Stefanie Jegelka, Le Song, Csaba Szepesvari, Gang Niu, and Sivan Sabato (Eds.). PMLR, 11201–11228. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v162/kim22i.html
- [104] Sungwon Kim, Heeseung Kim, and Sungroh Yoon. 2022. Guided-TTS 2: A Diffusion Model for High-quality Adaptive Text-to-Speech with Untranscribed Data. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15370 (2022).
- [105] Taesup Kim and Yoshua Bengio. 2016. Deep directed generative models with energy-based probability estimation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03439 (2016).
- [106] Diederik Kingma, Tim Salimans, Ben Poole, and Jonathan Ho. 2021. Variational diffusion models. Advances in neural information processing systems 34 (2021), 21696–21707.
- [107] Diederik P Kingma and Prafulla Dhariwal. 2018. Glow: Generative flow with invertible 1x1 convolutions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03039 (2018).
- [108] Durk P Kingma, Shakir Mohamed, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, and Max Welling. 2014. Semi-supervised learning with deep generative models. Advances in neural information processing systems 27 (2014).
- [109] Diederik P Kingma, Max Welling, et al. 2019. An introduction to variational autoencoders. Foundations and Trends[®] in Machine Learning 12, 4 (2019), 307–392.

Diffusion Models: A Comprehensive Survey of Methods and Applications

- [110] Zhifeng Kong, Wei Ping, Jiaji Huang, Kexin Zhao, and Bryan Catanzaro. 2021. DiffWave: A Versatile Diffusion Model for Audio Synthesis. ArXiv abs/2009.09761 (2021).
- [111] Ben Krause, Akhilesh Deepak Gotmare, Bryan McCann, Nitish Shirish Keskar, Shafiq Joty, Richard Socher, and Nazneen Fatema Rajani. 2020. Gedi: Generative discriminator guided sequence generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06367 (2020).
- [112] Rithesh Kumar, Anirudh Goyal, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2019. Maximum Entropy Generators for Energy-Based Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.08508 (2019).
- [113] Hugo Larochelle and Iain Murray. 2011. The Neural Autoregressive Distribution Estimator. In Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS.
- [114] Justin Lazarow, Long Jin, and Zhuowen Tu. 2017. Introspective neural networks for generative modeling. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. 2774–2783.
- [115] Yann LeCun, Sumit Chopra, Raia Hadsell, M Ranzato, and F Huang. 2006. A tutorial on energy-based learning. Predicting structured data 1, 0 (2006).
- [116] Jin Sub Lee and Philip M Kim. 2022. ProteinSGM: Score-based generative modeling for de novo protein design. *bioRxiv* (2022).
- [117] Kwonjoon Lee, Weijian Xu, Fan Fan, and Zhuowen Tu. 2018. Wasserstein introspective neural networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 3702–3711.
- [118] Alon Levkovitch, Eliya Nachmani, and Lior Wolf. 2022. Zero-Shot Voice Conditioning for Denoising Diffusion TTS Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.02246 (2022).
- [119] Haoying Li, Yifan Yang, Meng Chang, Huajun Feng, Zhi hai Xu, Qi Li, and Yue ting Chen. 2022. SRDiff: Single Image Super-Resolution with Diffusion Probabilistic Models. Neurocomputing 479 (2022), 47–59.
- [120] Junyi Li, Tianyi Tang, Gaole He, Jinhao Jiang, Xiaoxuan Hu, Puzhao Xie, Zhipeng Chen, Zhuohao Yu, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2021. Textbox: A unified, modularized, and extensible framework for text generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.02046 (2021).
- [121] Junyi Li, Tianyi Tang, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. 2021. Pretrained language models for text generation: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10311 (2021).
- [122] Shiyang Li, Xiaoyong Jin, Yao Xuan, Xiyou Zhou, Wenhu Chen, Yu-Xiang Wang, and Xifeng Yan. 2019. Enhancing the locality and breaking the memory bottleneck of transformer on time series forecasting. Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).
- [123] Xiang Lisa Li, John Thickstun, Ishaan Gulrajani, Percy Liang, and Tatsunori Hashimoto. 2022. Diffusion-LM Improves Controllable Text Generation. ArXiv abs/2205.14217 (2022).
- [124] Jinglin Liu, Chengxi Li, Yi Ren, Feiyang Chen, and Zhou Zhao. 2022. Diffsinger: Singing voice synthesis via shallow diffusion mechanism. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 36. 11020–11028.
- [125] Luping Liu, Yi Ren, Zhijie Lin, and Zhou Zhao. 2021. Pseudo Numerical Methods for Diffusion Models on Manifolds. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [126] Luping Liu, Yi Ren, Zhijie Lin, and Zhou Zhao. 2022. Pseudo numerical methods for diffusion models on manifolds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.09778 (2022).
- [127] Xingchao Liu, Lemeng Wu, Mao Ye, and Qiang Liu. 2022. Let us Build Bridges: Understanding and Extending Diffusion Generative Models. ArXiv abs/2208.14699 (2022).
- [128] Cheng Lu, Kaiwen Zheng, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. 2022. Maximum Likelihood Training for Score-based Diffusion ODEs by High Order Denoising Score Matching. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 14429–14460.
- [129] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. 2022. DPM-Solver: A Fast ODE Solver for Diffusion Probabilistic Model Sampling in Around 10 Steps. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.00927 (2022).
- [130] Andreas Lugmayr, Martin Danelljan, Andres Romero, Fisher Yu, Radu Timofte, and Luc Van Gool. 2022. Repaint: Inpainting using denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 11461–11471.
- [131] Eric Luhman and Troy Luhman. 2021. Knowledge Distillation in Iterative Generative Models for Improved Sampling Speed. ArXiv abs/2101.02388 (2021).
- [132] Troy Luhman and Eric Luhman. 2022. Improving Diffusion Model Efficiency Through Patching. ArXiv abs/2207.04316 (2022).
- [133] Calvin Luo. 2022. Understanding Diffusion Models: A Unified Perspective. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.11970 (2022).
- [134] Calvin Luo. 2022. Understanding Diffusion Models: A Unified Perspective.
- [135] Shitong Luo and Wei Hu. 2021. Diffusion probabilistic models for 3d point cloud generation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2837–2845.
- [136] Shitong Luo and Wei Hu. 2021. Score-based point cloud denoising. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 4583–4592.
- [137] Shitong Luo, Chence Shi, Minkai Xu, and Jian Tang. 2021. Predicting molecular conformation via dynamic graph score matching. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 19784–19795.
- [138] Shitong Luo, Yufeng Su, Xingang Peng, Sheng Wang, Jian Peng, and Jianzhu Ma. 2022. Antigen-specific antibody design and optimization with diffusion-based generative models. *bioRxiv* (2022).
- [139] Yonghong Luo, Xiangrui Cai, Ying Zhang, Jun Xu, et al. 2018. Multivariate time series imputation with generative adversarial networks. Advances in neural information processing systems 31 (2018).

- [140] Zhaoyang Lyu, Zhifeng Kong, XU Xudong, Liang Pan, and Dahua Lin. 2021. A Conditional Point Diffusion-Refinement Paradigm for 3D Point Cloud Completion. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [141] Zhaoyang Lyu, Xu Xudong, Ceyuan Yang, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. 2022. Accelerating Diffusion Models via Early Stop of the Diffusion Process. ArXiv abs/2205.12524 (2022).
- [142] Aleksander Madry, Aleksandar Makelov, Ludwig Schmidt, Dimitris Tsipras, and Adrian Vladu. 2018. Towards Deep Learning Models Resistant to Adversarial Attacks. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [143] Francois Maz'e and Faez Ahmed. 2022. TopoDiff: A Performance and Constraint-Guided Diffusion Model for Topology Optimization. ArXiv abs/2208.09591 (2022).
- [144] Gábor Melis, Chris Dyer, and Phil Blunsom. 2018. On the State of the Art of Evaluation in Neural Language Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations. https://openreview.net/forum?id=ByJHuTgA-
- [145] Stephen Merity, Nitish Shirish Keskar, and Richard Socher. 2018. Regularizing and Optimizing LSTM Language Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations. https://openreview.net/forum?id=SyyGPP0TZ
- [146] Nicholas Metropolis and Stanislaw Ulam. 1949. The monte carlo method. Journal of the American statistical association 44, 247 (1949), 335-341.
- [147] Jiquan Ngiam, Zhenghao Chen, Pang W Koh, and Andrew Y Ng. 2011. Learning deep energy models. In Proceedings of the 28th international conference on machine learning (ICML-11). 1105–1112.
- [148] Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. 2022. GLIDE: Towards Photorealistic Image Generation and Editing with Text-Guided Diffusion Models. In ICML.
- [149] Alexander Quinn Nichol and Prafulla Dhariwal. 2021. Improved denoising diffusion probabilistic models. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 8162–8171.
- [150] Weili Nie, Brandon Guo, Yujia Huang, Chaowei Xiao, Arash Vahdat, and Anima Anandkumar. 2022. Diffusion Models for Adversarial Purification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.07460 (2022).
- [151] Erik Nijkamp, Mitch Hill, Tian Han, Song-Chun Zhu, and Ying Nian Wu. 2019. On the anatomy of mcmc-based maximum likelihood learning of energy-based models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.12370 (2019).
- [152] Erik Nijkamp, Mitch Hill, Song-Chun Zhu, and Ying Nian Wu. 2019. On Learning Non-Convergent Short-Run MCMC Toward Energy-Based Model. arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.09770 (2019).
- [153] Chenhao Niu, Yang Song, Jiaming Song, Shengjia Zhao, Aditya Grover, and Stefano Ermon. 2020. Permutation invariant graph generation via score-based generative modeling. In International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. PMLR, 4474–4484.
- [154] Boris N Oreshkin, Dmitri Carpov, Nicolas Chapados, and Yoshua Bengio. 2019. N-BEATS: Neural basis expansion analysis for interpretable time series forecasting. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [155] Boris N. Oreshkin, Dmitri Carpov, Nicolas Chapados, and Yoshua Bengio. 2020. N-BEATS: Neural basis expansion analysis for interpretable time series forecasting. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [156] Georg Ostrovski, Marc G Bellemare, Aäron Oord, and Rémi Munos. 2017. Count-based exploration with neural density models. In International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 2721–2730.
- [157] Muzaffer Ozbey, Salman UH Dar, Hasan Atakan Bedel, Onat Dalmaz, cSaban Ozturk, Alper Gungor, and Tolga cCukur. 2022. Unsupervised Medical Image Translation with Adversarial Diffusion Models. ArXiv abs/2207.08208 (2022).
- [158] Sung Woo Park, Kyungjae Lee, and Junseok Kwon. 2021. Neural Markov Controlled SDE: Stochastic Optimization for Continuous-Time Data. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [159] Stanislav Pidhorskyi, Donald A Adjeroh, and Gianfranco Doretto. 2020. Adversarial latent autoencoders. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 14104–14113.
- [160] Vadim Popov, Ivan Vovk, Vladimir Gogoryan, Tasnima Sadekova, and Mikhail Kudinov. 2021. Grad-TTS: A Diffusion Probabilistic Model for Text-to-Speech. In ICML.
- [161] Yixuan Qiu, Lingsong Zhang, and Xiao Wang. 2019. Unbiased Contrastive Divergence Algorithm for Training Energy-Based Latent Variable Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [162] Lawrence R Rabiner. 1989. A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected applications in speech recognition. Proc. IEEE 77, 2 (1989), 257-286.
- [163] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 8748–8763.
- [164] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. OpenAI blog 1, 8 (2019), 9.
- [165] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. 2022. Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents. ArXiv abs/2204.06125 (2022).
- [166] Kashif Rasul, Calvin Seward, Ingmar Schuster, and Roland Vollgraf. 2021. Autoregressive Denoising Diffusion Models for Multivariate Probabilistic Time Series Forecasting. In Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 139), Marina Meila and Tong Zhang (Eds.). PMLR, 8857–8868. https://proceedings.mlr.press/v139/rasul21a.html
- [167] Kashif Rasul, Calvin Seward, Ingmar Schuster, and Roland Vollgraf. 2021. Autoregressive denoising diffusion models for multivariate probabilistic time series forecasting. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 8857–8868.

Diffusion Models: A Comprehensive Survey of Methods and Applications

- [168] Kashif Rasul, Abdul-Saboor Sheikh, Ingmar Schuster, Urs M Bergmann, and Roland Vollgraf. 2020. Multivariate Probabilistic Time Series Forecasting via Conditioned Normalizing Flows. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [169] Lillian J Ratliff, Samuel A Burden, and S Shankar Sastry. 2013. Characterization and computation of local Nash equilibria in continuous games. In 2013 51st Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton). IEEE, 917–924.
- [170] Danilo Rezende and Shakir Mohamed. 2015. Variational inference with normalizing flows. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 1530–1538.
- [171] Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Daan Wierstra. 2014. Stochastic backpropagation and approximate inference in deep generative models. In International conference on machine learning. PMLR, 1278–1286.
- [172] Benjamin Rhodes, Kai Xu, and Michael U Gutmann. 2020. Telescoping Density-Ratio Estimation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33 (2020).
- [173] Robin Rombach, A. Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2021. High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models. ArXiv abs/2112.10752 (2021).
- [174] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. 2022. DreamBooth: Fine Tuning Text-to-Image Diffusion Models for Subject-Driven Generation. ArXiv abs/2208.12242 (2022).
- [175] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Huiwen Chang, Chris Lee, Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, David Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2022. Palette: Image-to-image diffusion models. In Special Interest Group on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques Conference Proceedings. 1–10.
- [176] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L. Denton, Seyed Kamyar Seyed Ghasemipour, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Seyedeh Sara Mahdavi, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Tim Salimans, Jonathan Ho, David Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2022. Photorealistic Text-to-Image Diffusion Models with Deep Language Understanding. ArXiv abs/2205.11487 (2022).
- [177] Chitwan Saharia, Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Tim Salimans, David Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2021. Image Super-Resolution via Iterative Refinement. ArXiv abs/2104.07636 (2021).
- [178] Tim Salimans and Jonathan Ho. 2021. Progressive Distillation for Fast Sampling of Diffusion Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [179] David Salinas, Michael Bohlke-Schneider, Laurent Callot, Roberto Medico, and Jan Gasthaus. 2019. High-dimensional multivariate forecasting with low-rank gaussian copula processes. Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).
- [180] David Salinas, Valentin Flunkert, Jan Gasthaus, and Tim Januschowski. 2020. DeepAR: Probabilistic forecasting with autoregressive recurrent networks. International Journal of Forecasting 36, 3 (2020), 1181–1191.
- [181] Thomas Schlegl, Philipp Seeböck, Sebastian M Waldstein, Ursula Schmidt-Erfurth, and Georg Langs. 2017. Unsupervised anomaly detection with generative adversarial networks to guide marker discovery. In *International conference on information processing in medical imaging*. Springer, 146–157.
- [182] Chence Shi, Shitong Luo, Minkai Xu, and Jian Tang. 2021. Learning gradient fields for molecular conformation generation. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 9558–9568.
- [183] Chence Shi, Minkai Xu, Zhaocheng Zhu, Weinan Zhang, Ming Zhang, and Jian Tang. 2020. Graphaf: a flow-based autoregressive model for molecular graph generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09382 (2020).
- [184] Yuyang Shi, Valentin De Bortoli, George Deligiannidis, and Arnaud Doucet. 2022. Conditional Simulation Using Diffusion Schr\" odinger Bridges. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.13460 (2022).
- [185] Ikaro Silva, George Moody, Daniel J Scott, Leo A Celi, and Roger G Mark. 2012. Predicting in-hospital mortality of icu patients: The physionet/computing in cardiology challenge 2012. In 2012 Computing in Cardiology. IEEE, 245–248.
- [186] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. 2015. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 2256–2265.
- [187] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric A. Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. 2015. Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics. In Proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML, Francis R. Bach and David M. Blei (Eds.).
- [188] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2020. Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [189] Ki-Ung Song. 2022. Applying Regularized Schr\" odinger-Bridge-Based Stochastic Process in Generative Modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.07131 (2022).
- [190] Yang Song, Conor Durkan, Iain Murray, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Maximum likelihood training of score-based diffusion models. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 1415–1428.
- [191] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. 2019. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32 (2019).
- [192] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. 2020. Improved Techniques for Training Score-Based Generative Models. ArXiv abs/2006.09011 (2020).
- [193] Yang Song, Taesup Kim, Sebastian Nowozin, Stefano Ermon, and Nate Kushman. 2017. Pixeldefend: Leveraging generative models to understand and defend against adversarial examples. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10766 (2017).
- [194] Yang Song, Liyue Shen, Lei Xing, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Solving Inverse Problems in Medical Imaging with Score-Based Generative Models. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [195] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. 2020. Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations. In International Conference on Learning Representations.

- [196] James C Spall. 2012. Stochastic optimization. In Handbook of computational statistics. Springer, 173-201.
- [197] Jiachen Sun, Weili Nie, Zhiding Yu, Zhuoqing Morley Mao, and Chaowei Xiao. 2022. PointDP: Diffusion-driven Purification against Adversarial Attacks on 3D Point Cloud Recognition. ArXiv abs/2208.09801 (2022).
- [198] Jaesung Tae, Hyeongju Kim, and Taesu Kim. 2021. EdiTTS: Score-based Editing for Controllable Text-to-Speech. arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.02584 (2021).
- [199] Huachun Tan, Guangdong Feng, Jianshuai Feng, Wuhong Wang, Yu-Jin Zhang, and Feng Li. 2013. A tensor-based method for missing traffic data completion. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies 28 (2013), 15–27.
- [200] Yusuke Tashiro, Jiaming Song, Yang Song, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. CSDI: Conditional score-based diffusion models for probabilistic time series imputation. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 24804–24816.
- [201] Shantanu Thakoor, Corentin Tallec, Mohammad Gheshlaghi Azar, Rémi Munos, Petar Veličković, and Michal Valko. 2021. Bootstrapped representation learning on graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.06514 (2021).
- [202] Brian L Trippe, Jason Yim, Doug Tischer, Tamara Broderick, David Baker, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. 2022. Diffusion probabilistic modeling of protein backbones in 3D for the motif-scaffolding problem. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04119 (2022).
- [203] Brian Loeber Trippe, Jason Yim, Doug K Tischer, Tamara Broderick, David Baker, Regina Barzilay, and T. Jaakkola. 2022. Diffusion probabilistic modeling of protein backbones in 3D for the motif-scaffolding problem. ArXiv abs/2206.04119 (2022).
- [204] Arash Vahdat, Karsten Kreis, and Jan Kautz. 2021. Score-based generative modeling in latent space. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 11287–11302.
- [205] Aäron van den Oord, Sander Dieleman, Heiga Zen, Karen Simonyan, Oriol Vinyals, Alex Graves, Nal Kalchbrenner, Andrew W. Senior, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2016. WaveNet: A Generative Model for Raw Audio. In The 9th ISCA Speech Synthesis Workshop.
- [206] Aäron van den Oord, Nal Kalchbrenner, and Koray Kavukcuoglu. 2016. Pixel Recurrent Neural Networks. In Proceedings of the 33nd International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML, Maria-Florina Balcan and Kilian Q. Weinberger (Eds.).
- [207] Pascal Vincent, Hugo Larochelle, Yoshua Bengio, and Pierre-Antoine Manzagol. 2008. Extracting and composing robust features with denoising autoencoders. In Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning. 1096–1103.
- [208] Dominik Jens Elias Waibel, Ernst Rooell, Bastian Alexander Rieck, Raja Giryes, and Carsten Marr. 2022. A Diffusion Model Predicts 3D Shapes from 2D Microscopy Images. ArXiv abs/2208.14125 (2022).
- [209] Jinyi Wang, Zhaoyang Lyu, Dahua Lin, Bo Dai, and Hongfei Fu. 2022. Guided Diffusion Model for Adversarial Purification. ArXiv abs/2205.14969 (2022).
- [210] Jinyi Wang, Zhaoyang Lyu, Dahua Lin, Bo Dai, and Hongfei Fu. 2022. Guided Diffusion Model for Adversarial Purification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.14969 (2022).
- [211] Tengfei Wang, Ting Zhang, Bo Zhang, Hao Ouyang, Dong Chen, Qifeng Chen, and Fang Wen. 2022. Pretraining is All You Need for Image-to-Image Translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.12952 (2022).
- [212] Zhendong Wang, Huangjie Zheng, Pengcheng He, Weizhu Chen, and Mingyuan Zhou. 2022. Diffusion-GAN: Training GANs with Diffusion. ArXiv abs/2206.02262 (2022).
- [213] Daniel Watson, William Chan, Jonathan Ho, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2021. Learning fast samplers for diffusion models by differentiating through sample quality. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [214] Daniel Watson, William Chan, Jonathan Ho, and Mohammad Norouzi. 2022. Learning Fast Samplers for Diffusion Models by Differentiating Through Sample Quality. ArXiv abs/2202.05830 (2022).
- [215] Antoine Wehenkel and Gilles Louppe. 2021. Diffusion priors in variational autoencoders. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.15671 (2021).
- [216] Hao Wu, Jonas Köhler, and Frank Noe. 2020. Stochastic Normalizing Flows. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan, and H. Lin (Eds.), Vol. 33. Curran Associates, Inc., 5933–5944.
- [217] Lemeng Wu, Chengyue Gong, Xingchao Liu, Mao Ye, and Qiang Liu. 2022. Diffusion-based Molecule Generation with Informative Prior Bridges.
- [218] Quanlin Wu, Hang Ye, and Yuntian Gu. 2022. Guided Diffusion Model for Adversarial Purification from Random Noise. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10875 (2022).
- [219] Shoule Wu and Ziqiang Shi. 2021. ItôTTS and ItôWave: Linear Stochastic Differential Equation Is All You Need For Audio Generation. arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv-2105.
- [220] Shiwen Wu, Fei Sun, Wentao Zhang, Xu Xie, and Bin Cui. 2020. Graph neural networks in recommender systems: a survey. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) (2020).
- [221] Zonghan Wu, Shirui Pan, Fengwen Chen, Guodong Long, Chengqi Zhang, and S Yu Philip. 2020. A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems* 32, 1 (2020), 4–24.
- [222] Julian Wyatt, Adam Leach, Sebastian M Schmon, and Chris G Willcocks. 2022. AnoDDPM: Anomaly Detection With Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models Using Simplex Noise. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 650–656.
- [223] Zhisheng Xiao, Karsten Kreis, and Arash Vahdat. 2021. Tackling the generative learning trilemma with denoising diffusion gans. arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.07804 (2021).
- [224] Jianwen Xie, Yang Lu, Song-Chun Zhu, and Yingnian Wu. 2016. A theory of generative convnet. In International Conference on Machine Learning. 2635–2644.

Diffusion Models: A Comprehensive Survey of Methods and Applications

- [225] Pan Xie, Qipeng Zhang, Zexian Li, Hao Tang, Yao Du, and Xiaohui Hu. 2022. Vector Quantized Diffusion Model with CodeUnet for Text-to-Sign Pose Sequences Generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.09141 (2022).
- [226] Tian Xie, Xiang Fu, Octavian-Eugen Ganea, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi S Jaakkola. 2021. Crystal Diffusion Variational Autoencoder for Periodic Material Generation. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [227] Minghao Xu, Hang Wang, Bingbing Ni, Hongyu Guo, and Jian Tang. 2021. Self-supervised graph-level representation learning with local and global structure. In International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 11548–11558.
- [228] Minkai Xu, Lantao Yu, Yang Song, Chence Shi, Stefano Ermon, and Jian Tang. 2021. GeoDiff: A Geometric Diffusion Model for Molecular Conformation Generation. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- [229] Dongchao Yang, Jianwei Yu, Helin Wang, Wen Wang, Chao Weng, Yuexian Zou, and Dong Yu. 2022. Diffsound: Discrete Diffusion Model for Text-to-sound Generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.09983 (2022).
- [230] Jie Yang, Ruijie Xu, Zhiquan Qi, and Yong Shi. 2021. Visual anomaly detection for images: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.13157 (2021).
- [231] Kevin Yang and Dan Klein. 2021. FUDGE: Controlled Text Generation With Future Discriminators. (2021).
- [232] Ling Yang and Shenda Hong. 2022. Omni-Granular Ego-Semantic Propagation for Self-Supervised Graph Representation Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15746 (2022).
- [233] Ling Yang and Shenda Hong. 2022. Unsupervised Time-Series Representation Learning with Iterative Bilinear Temporal-Spectral Fusion. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 25038–25054.
- [234] Ling Yang, Liangliang Li, Zilun Zhang, Xinyu Zhou, Erjin Zhou, and Yu Liu. 2020. Dpgn: Distribution propagation graph network for few-shot learning. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 13390–13399.
- [235] Ruihan Yang, Prakhar Srivastava, and Stephan Mandt. 2022. Diffusion probabilistic modeling for video generation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.09481 (2022).
- [236] Ruihan Yang, Yibo Yang, Joseph Marino, and Stephan Mandt. 2021. Insights from Generative Modeling for Neural Video Compression. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.13136 (2021).
- [237] Xiulong Yang, Sheng-Min Shih, Yinlin Fu, Xiaoting Zhao, and Shihao Ji. 2022. Your ViT is Secretly a Hybrid Discriminative-Generative Diffusion Model.
- [238] Xiuwen Yi, Yu Zheng, Junbo Zhang, and Tianrui Li. 2016. ST-MVL: filling missing values in geo-sensory time series data. In Proceedings of the 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- [239] Jongmin Yoon, Sung Ju Hwang, and Juho Lee. 2021. Adversarial purification with score-based generative models. In International Conference on Machine Learning. PMLR, 12062–12072.
- [240] Jinsung Yoon, Daniel Jarrett, and Mihaela Van der Schaar. 2019. Time-series generative adversarial networks. Advances in neural information processing systems 32 (2019).
- [241] Peiyu Yu, Sirui Xie, Xiaojian Ma, Baoxiong Jia, Bo Pang, Ruigi Gao, Yixin Zhu, Song-Chun Zhu, and Ying Nian Wu. 2022. Latent Diffusion Energy-Based Model for Interpretable Text Modeling. In ICML.
- [242] Sihyun Yu, Jihoon Tack, Sangwoo Mo, Hyunsu Kim, Junho Kim, Jung-Woo Ha, and Jinwoo Shin. 2022. Generating videos with dynamics-aware implicit generative adversarial networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10571 (2022).
- [243] Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. 2022. MotionDiffuse: Text-Driven Human Motion Generation with Diffusion Model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001 (2022).
- [244] Qinsheng Zhang and Yongxin Chen. 2021. Diffusion Normalizing Flow. In NeurIPS.
- [245] Qinsheng Zhang and Yongxin Chen. 2022. Fast Sampling of Diffusion Models with Exponential Integrator. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.13902 (2022).
- [246] Qinsheng Zhang, Molei Tao, and Yongxin Chen. 2022. gDDIM: Generalized denoising diffusion implicit models. ArXiv abs/2206.05564 (2022).
- [247] Susan Zhang, Stephen Roller, Naman Goyal, Mikel Artetxe, Moya Chen, Shuohui Chen, Christopher Dewan, Mona Diab, Xian Li, Xi Victoria Lin, et al. 2022. Opt: Open pre-trained transformer language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01068 (2022).
- [248] Wenrui Zhang, Ling Yang, Shijia Geng, and Shenda Hong. 2022. Cross Reconstruction Transformer for Self-Supervised Time Series Representation Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.09928 (2022).
- [249] Junbo Zhao, Michael Mathieu, and Yann LeCun. 2016. Energy-based generative adversarial network. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.03126 (2016).
- [250] Yue Zhao, Zain Nasrullah, and Zheng Li. 2019. PyOD: A Python Toolbox for Scalable Outlier Detection. Journal of Machine Learning Research 20 (2019), 1–7.
- [251] Huangjie Zheng, Pengcheng He, Weizhu Chen, and Mingyuan Zhou. 2022. Truncated Diffusion Probabilistic Models. ArXiv abs/2202.09671 (2022).
- [252] Jie Zhou, Ganqu Cui, Shengding Hu, Zhengyan Zhang, Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, Lifeng Wang, Changcheng Li, and Maosong Sun. 2020. Graph
- neural networks: A review of methods and applications. AI Open 1 (2020), 57–81.
 [253] Linqi Zhou, Yilun Du, and Jiajun Wu. 2021. 3d shape generation and completion through point-voxel diffusion. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 5826–5835.
- [254] Ye Zhu, Yuehua Wu, Kyle Olszewski, Jian Ren, S. Tulyakov, and Yan Yan. 2022. Discrete Contrastive Diffusion for Cross-Modal and Conditional Generation. ArXiv abs/2206.07771 (2022).
- [255] Yanqiao Zhu, Yichen Xu, Feng Yu, Qiang Liu, Shu Wu, and Liang Wang. 2020. Deep graph contrastive representation learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.04131 (2020).